EXHIBIT 2C

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA			
2	CHARLESTON DIVISION			
3				
	§			
4	IN RE: ETHICON, INC., § MASTER FILE			
	PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS § NO. 2:12-MD-02327			
5	LIABILITY LITIGATION §			
	§			
6	§ MDL NO. 2327			
	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: §			
7	DIANNE M. BELLEW, § JOSEPH R. GOODWIN			
	§ US DISTRICT JUDGE			
8	Case No. 2:13-cv-22473 §			
	§			
9				
10				
11	November 10, 2014			
12				
13	Videotaped deposition of PROF. DR. MED. UWE			
14	KLINGE, held at Quellenhof Hotel, Monheimsallee			
15	52, 52062, Aachen, Germany, commencing at			
16	9:04 a.m., on the above date, before Tami Cline,			
17	Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime			
18	Reporter.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
	GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			
23	877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672 fax			
	deps@golkow.com			
24				
1	I			

	Daga 2	<u>ч.</u>	Page 4
	Page 2		Page 4
1 2	APPEARANCES: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC	1	E X H I B I T S (CONTINUED)
4	BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE	2	(ATTACHED)
3	1360 West 9th Street	3	EXHIBIT NO. PAGE
	Suite 215	4	Klinge Trial Article entitled "Long-term 165 outcome and quality of life"
4	Cleveland, Ohio 44113	5	after open incisional hernia
5	216-592-8384 Ben@andersonlawoffices.net	6	
]	Representing the Plaintiffs	7	heavy-eight meshes" Klinge Trial Article entitled "Bias-Variation 169 Deposition 6 Dilemma Challenges Clinical Trials: Inherent Limitations of Randomized Controlled Trials and
6			Deposition 6 Dilemma Challenges Clinical
	THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC	8	Randomized Controlled Trials and
7	BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE 300 Summers Street	9	Meta-Analyses Comparing Hernia
8	Suite 1380	10	Therapies"
	Charleston, West Virginia 25301		Klinge Trial Article entitled "Prospective, 173
9	Dthomas@tcspllc.com	11	Deposition 7 Long-Term Comparison of Quality of Life in Laparoscopic Versus Open Ventral Hernia Repair" Plaintiff's P0036 PowerPoint presentation 41
10	BUTLER SNÔW, LLP BY: CHAD R. HUTCHINSON, ESQUIRE	12	of Life in Laparoscopic Versus Open Ventral Hernia Repair"
11	1020 Highland Colony	13	Plaintiff's P0036 PowerPoint presentation 41
	Renaissance at Colony Park, Suite 1400	14	enimed Stand & Denver -
12	Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157		Pelvic Floor Repair" Plaintiff's PLT0067 Article entitled 26
13	601-948-5711 Chad.hutchinson@butlersnow.com	15	"Complications from
1,2	Representing Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon	16	vaginally placed mesh in pelvic reconstructive
14			surgery"
	ALSO PRESENT:	17	Plaintiff's PLT0260' Article entitled "Impact of 49 Polymer Pore Size on the
15	Gragory Fields Videographer	18	Interface Scar Formation in
16	Gregory Fields, Videographer Julie Filarski, Anderson Law Offices, LLC	10	a Rat Model"
-0	Michael Kauffmann, Precision Trial Solutions	19	Plaintiff's PLT0271 Article entitled "The lightweight and large porous 51
17	•	20	concept for hernia repair" Plaintiff's PLT0697, Article entitled "Elongation 60
18		21	Plaintiff's PLT0697 Article entitled "Elongation 60"
19 20		21	of textile pelvic floor implants under load is
21		22	related to complete loss of
22		23	effective porosity, thereby favoring incorporation in
23			scar plates"
24		24	-
	Page 3		Page 5
1	Page 3	1	Page 5 EXHIBITS
2	Page 3 INDEX		EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)
2 3	INDEX	2	E X H I B I T S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED)
2	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE		E X H I B I T S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE
2 3 4	INDEX	2 3 4	E X H I B I T S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4	E X H I B I T S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for 63
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New 194 Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for 63 Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article 66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective 66
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants." Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation 68
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical 68
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants." Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation 43
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) -
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants "Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants." Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery Initiation, August 25, 2008 Plaintiff's P1437 October 7, 2010, e-mail and 67
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery Initiation, August 25, 2008 Plaintiff's P1437 October 7, 2010, e-mail and article entitled "New
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery Initiation, August 25, 2008 Plaintiff's P1437 October 7, 2010, e-mail and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery Initiation, August 25, 2008 Plaintiff's P1437 October 7, 2010, e-mail and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants." Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation (PLT) - State Gate Discovery Initiation, August 25, 2008 Plaintiff's P1437 October 7, 2010, e-mail and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.04945136
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery Initiation, August 25, 2008 Plaintiff's P1437 October 7, 2010, e-mail and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Testimony of: PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXHIBIT S (CONTINUED) (ATTACHED) EXHIBIT NO. PAGE Plaintiff's PLT0770 Article entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes - PVDF Copolymer" Plaintiff's P0777 Ethicon document, Form for Test Method Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237 Plaintiff's P0829 E-mail chain and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants." Bates stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138 Plaintiff's P1087 PowerPoint presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, Somerville 28th February 2008" Plaintiff's 1156 PowerPoint presentation (PLT) - State Gate Discovery Initiation, August 25, 2008 Plaintiff's P1437 October 7, 2010, e-mail and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.04945136

Page 6	
Page 6	Page 8
1 EXHIBITS (CONTINUED) 2 (ATTACHED)	1
	2 DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX
Plaintiff's P1679 PowerPoint presentation 54 entitled "Factors related to	3
4 mesh shrinkage - What do we	4 PAGE
5 and internal studies" Plaintiff's P2995 PowerPoint presentation 72	5 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 220
6 entitled "Mesh Properties -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
How important are they?"	6 INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS 221
Plaintiff's P3184 Letter to Quentin from 199 Christoph Walther, Bates	7 WITNESS ERRATA 222
stamped HIVIESH_E1H_003/9/23	8 WITNESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT 223
Plaintiff's P3355 English Translation of 196 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3354, Patent for PVDF mesh	9 LAWYER'S NOTES 224
Patent for PVDF mesh '	10
Plaintiff's P3356, Page 20 of 1386 from Howard 30	
containing photographs	12
Plaintiff's Document Bates stamped 64 Demonstrative ETH-01755	13
P3357	14
Plaintiff's PowerPoint slide titled 17	15
16 Demonstrative "Foreign Body Reaction: More	16
P3358 Foreign Body = More Inflammation," Bates stamped P1005 ETH.MESH.02341454	17
Plaintiff's PowerPoint slide entitled 32	18
19 Demonstrative "Patient Injury Due to Mesh P3359 Inflammation and Contraction"	19
120	20
21 Demonstrative referencing PLT0697,	21
P3360 "Elongation of textile pelvic floor implants under load is	22
	23
favoring incorporation in scar plates"	24
Page 7	Page 9
1 EXHIBITS	1
(CONTINUED) 2 (ATTACHED)	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.
EXHIBIT NO. PAGE	3 My name is Gregory Fields. I'm the videographer
Plaintiff's PowerPoint slide entitled 74	4 for Golkow Technologies. Today's date is
4 Demonstrative "Pores Collapse Under	5 11/10/2014, and the time is 9:04 a.m. This video
P3361 Tension," referencing P2995, 5 ETH.MESH.05237872, PLT 0697,	6 deposition is being held in Aachen, Germany, in
P1452 - ETH.MESH.000007	7 the matter of In Re: Pelvic mesh, for the
6 Plaintiff's PowerPoint slide entitled 79 Demonstrative P3362 "Prolift Unsafe/Defective	8 Superior Court of New Jersey, Atlantic County.
7 Mesh Design"	9 The deponent is Uwe Klinge. Counsel will be
8 Defendant's DX30064 Article entitled 133 "Classification of	noted on the stenographic record. The court
9 biomaterials and their	reporter is Tami Cline and will now swear in the
related complications in abdominal wall hernia	12 witness.
surgery"	13 MR. THOMAS: The court reporter noted that
(RETAINED BY MR. ANDERSON)	the taped deposition was being taken in the
12	Superior Court of New Jersey. I thought this was
Plaintiff's P3363 Prolene suture 20	an MDL deposition.
Plaintiff's P3364 240 meters of polypropylene 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. I had that as
Plaintiff's P3365 Basketball net 57	18 a
15 16	19 MR. ANDERSON: MDL deposition.
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the MDL?
18 19	21 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
20	22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I apologize.
21	23 MR. THOMAS: And only the MDL. It's not
(') ')	142 MIN. LLICHMAG. AND ONLY DE MIDL. ILSTICL
22 23 24	24 cross-noticed in New Jersey.

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3760-5 Filed 24/22/17 Page 5 Page D #: 134404 Page 10 Page 12 1 MR. ANDERSON: It's MDL. and some years later on I got specified as an 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Okay. abdominal surgeon. THE COURT REPORTER: Would you raise your 3 Q. Tell the jury a little bit about your 4 right hand, please. practice of abdominal surgery. 5 Do you swear or affirm the testimony you give A. While working at this surgical department, I in this cause will be the truth, the whole truth performed some thousands of operations, mainly done 6 7 and nothing but the truth? for diseases of the abdominal cavity of the intestine 8 THE WITNESS: I swear. of the abdominal wall, but it covers almost all parts 9 THE COURT REPORTER: You can put your hand of the body. 10 10 down. Q. Have you used synthetic surgical mesh in your 11 PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE, called as a 11 surgical practice? 12 12 witness by the Plaintiff, having been first duly A. Yes, I did. sworn, testified as follows: 13 Q. Did you use hernia meshes in your surgical 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION practice that were manufactured by Ethicon? BY MR. ANDERSON: 15 15 A. Yes, I did. 16 Q. Good morning, Dr. Klinge. 16 Q. Doctor, what caused you to study the 17 17 biomaterial science of surgical meshes? A. Good morning. 18 Q. Dr. Klinge, please tell the jury what your 18 A. When we started to use surgical meshes in the 19 profession is. beginning of the '90s, we got aware that we have to 20 A. I'm an abdominal surgeon and a biomaterial face several complications that are related with 21 researcher. these mesh materials, mainly at the occasion of some 22 Q. Where do you work, Dr. Klinge? revision operations where we saw what happens to 23 A. I'm working at the University of Aachen. these meshes after getting incorporated; and we 24 Q. And is that Aachen, Germany? wanted to learn more about these meshes to avoid Page 11 Page 13 these complications. 1 A. It's Aachen, Germany. 2 Q. And is that where we are today? Q. And when did you first begin that work? 3 A. We started to think about it in the beginning A. Exactly. 4 Q. Please tell the jury a little bit about of the '90s, and the research project really started Aachen University Hospital. in 1994. 6 A. It's a large teaching and research hospital, Q. And as part of your work in looking at the 7 and it is -- it has an extended research center biomaterial science of surgical meshes and trying to relate those to complications, did you work as a dealing with medical devices, the development of 9 medical devices. consultant for Ethicon? 10 Q. And would those medical devices include 10 A. Yes, indeed. When we decided to study meshes surgical meshes like the Prolift mesh? and wanted to know what is the impact of the meshes 12 A. Yes. to the damage in the tissue, it was necessary to 13 collaborate with the manufacturer, because for these Q. And before we go through the issues in this case, would you please just tell the jury briefly research, you need a lot of modifications. You need 15 about your education and training as a surgeon? a lot of different designs to find out what is the impact of a specific design to the tissue response. 16 A. I started my medical training 1977 at this

17 university, and when I finished it, then I started to

18 work in the surgical department at this university

19 for almost now -- almost 30 years. Yeah. 20

Q. And did you do a surgical residency?

21 A. Yes.

2.2 Q. What years did you do a surgical residency?

23 A. It started in 1985, and I worked specified as

abdominal surgeon -- as a general surgeon in 1993,

22 Q. And was this collaboration between Aachen University Hospital, where you are here in Aachen, and Ethicon?

And we have been very happy to find Ethicon as a

partner in this research who, during the following

a lot of modifications so that we can do all these

ten years, supplied us with a lot of these meshes and

studies.

17

21

	Page 14		Page 16
1	A. Yes.	1	structure as for Prolene Soft.
2	Q. Okay. Dr. Klinge, all of your opinions today	2	Q. So Prolene, Prolene Soft and Gynemesh PS, is
3	will need to be to a reasonable degree of medical and	3	it your testimony they are all brand names for
4	scientific certainty. Do you understand that?	4	polypropylene mesh made by Ethicon?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Have you published articles in the	6	Q. Okay. What is the mesh material that's in
7	peer-reviewed medical literature that relate to the	7	the Prolift device?
8	safety of surgical meshes either for the abdomen or	8	A. It is polypropylene.
9	the pelvic floor?	9	Q. And what is the brand name from Ethicon for
10	A. Yes, I did.	10	this polypropylene in the Prolift device?
11	Q. How many times?	11	A. It's Gynemesh PS.
12	A. With the specific topic of surgical meshes,	12	Q. Okay. Have you reviewed and do you rely upon
13	it's more than 100.	13	Ethicon internal documents and depositions of Ethicon
14	Q. Have you written books and book chapters that	14	witnesses that you reviewed over the course of this
15	relate to the safety of surgical meshes for both the	15	case in arriving at your opinions that you are going
16	abdomen and the pelvic floor?	16	to offer here today?
17	A. Yes, I did.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And on how many times?	18	Q. With regard to the Prolift, are you familiar
19	A. About 50.	19	with the weight and surface area, the weave pattern
20	Q. Have you been asked to speak at conferences	20	and the pore size
21	around the world on the topic of surgical mesh	21	A. Yes.
22	complications and safer mesh design for the abdomen	22	Q of Prolift mesh?
23	and the pelvic floor?	23	A. I'm sorry.
24	A. Yes, I have been, and I'm still.	24	Q. All right.
	Page 15		Page 17
1	Q. Have you been asked by Ethicon to speak as an	1	A. Yes, I am.
2	invited lecturer at conferences sponsored by Ethicon?	2	Q. Generally speaking, how is the Prolift
3	A. Yes.	3	supposed to function?
4	Q. On how many occasions?	4	A. It is supposed to function as a flat layer
5	A. Several dozens.	5	reinforcing the tissue and the pelvic floor.
6	Q. Have you been invited by Ethicon to speak	6	Q. Dr. Klinge, I would like to talk to the jury
7	directly to urogynecologists and urologists regarding	7	now about the way the tissue in our bodies reacts to
8	surgical meshes for the pelvic floor?	8	a foreign substance like polypropylene. Now, with
9	A. Yes, I was.	9	your help and at your request, did we prepare some
10	Q. Doctor, what is Prolene mesh?	10	slides for the jury today?
11	A. Prolene mesh is the brand name of a mesh, a	11	A. Yes.
12	plastic net made of polypropylene fibers.	12	Q. And would you feel that those would be
13	Q. And is there a particular manufacturer that	13	helpful to you as we are talking about some of your
14	uses the brand name Prolene?	14	opinions with the jury?
15	A. It's a brand name from Ethicon.	15	A. Yes.
		16	
	Q. Are you familiar with the term "Prolene Soft Mesh"?	17	MR. THOMAS: Let's go off the record a second, please.
16	1/10311 :		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.
17	A Vac	1 1 0	THE VIDEOUXAFFIER. WE are OH the record.
17 18	A. Yes. O. And what is Prolane Soft Mash?	18	The time is 9.13 a m
17 18 19	Q. And what is Prolene Soft Mesh?	19	The time is 9:13 a.m. (A recess was taken from 9:13 a m. until 9:14 a m.)
17 18 19 20	Q. And what is Prolene Soft Mesh?A. It is, again, a brand name from a mesh from	19 20	(A recess was taken from 9:13 a.m. until 9:14 a.m.)
17 18 19 20 21	Q. And what is Prolene Soft Mesh?A. It is, again, a brand name from a mesh from Ethicon made of polypropylene fibers.	19 20 21	(A recess was taken from 9:13 a.m. until 9:14 a.m.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
17 18 19 20	Q. And what is Prolene Soft Mesh?A. It is, again, a brand name from a mesh from	19 20	(A recess was taken from 9:13 a.m. until 9:14 a.m.)

24

A. Yes. It is -- it is the same textile

(Plaintiff's Demonstrative Exhibit No. P3358,

Page 18 Page 20 1 PowerPoint slide titled "Foreign Body Reaction: More 1 anterior implant. 2 Foreign Body = More Inflammation," Bates stamped Q. Doctor, did I ask you to bring with you to your testimony today a Prolene suture? P1005 ETH.MESH.02341454, marked for identification.) 4 A. Yes. 5 BY MR. ANDERSON: 5 MR. ANDERSON: And, Counsel, for purposes of 6 the record, it's a demonstrative exhibit, which 6 Q. Doctor, I'm showing you the first slide, which is a demonstrative exhibit, which we have gone 7 we have premarked as Plaintiff's Exhibit P3363. 8 ahead and labeled as Plaintiff's Exhibit P3358. Is this slide entitled, "Foreign Body Reaction," a slide 9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3363, Prolene that you helped prepare for the jury today? 10 suture, was marked for identification.) 11 A. Yes. 11 12 12 Q. What does foreign body reaction refer to? BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 A. Foreign body reaction mainly consists of an 13 Q. Doctor, is this the Prolene suture you 14 inflammatory reaction and a scar reaction. And if brought here to the deposition today? you -- assume you get a splinter or foreign body into 15 A. Yes. Exactly. 16 your tissues. The body tries to get rid of it, and 16 Q. Can you please first show the Prolene suture if they -- if the body is not able to get rid of this to the jury? If you would just lay it on that piece 18 foreign body, it's sent a lot of white blood cells to of paper so the -- our kind videographer here can 19 this to build a wall to protect the surrounding pick up on that. tissue from this foreign body. And this 20 A. (Complying.) 21 inflammatory -- these inflammatory cells are then Q. Thank you. 22 22 surrounded by dense scar tissue. Now, Doctor, you have placed sutures like 23 Q. And when we see on this slide "more foreign 23 this in patients? 24 body equals more inflammation" -- you have put that A. Yes. This is a typical suture we are using Page 19 Page 21 there. Why have you put that there for the jury? 1 in the OR, but usually we made some knots about it 2 A. Sorry? and we removed the rest of the fibers. So, actually, Q. "More foreign body equals more inflammation," we left 1 to 2 centimeters of this suture in the body 4 why have we put that there? Why is that significant when we made a stitch with this material. to your opinions, Doctor? Q. So given that you trim this after you do the 6 A. Yeah. It was one of our -- or it was stitch, show the jury, if you would, how much confirmed by all of our studies that, of course, the polypropylene stitch is left. more foreign body you have, the more inflammation you A. So, of course, it depends from thickness of have. The more surface you have, the more the tissue, but usually it's not more than this. inflammation you have. So if you have two splinters, 10 Q. What is that? you will have more inflammation than if it is only 11 A. What remains in the tissue after --12 one. 12 Q. About 2 inches? 13 13 A. An inch is 2.5 centimeters, so it is -- it is Q. Doctor, I believe by this time in the trial 14 that the jury would have already seen a Prolift 14 less than one inch. anterior mesh. I -- we have one there on the slide. 15 Q. Okay. By way of example, Doctor, did I ask 16 Did I ask you to calculate the amount of you to measure -- you said there was 240 meters of 17 polypropylene fiber that is woven into a Prolift 17 polypropylene material in an anterior mesh? 18 18 anterior mesh? A. Yes. 19 19 A. Yes, I did. 20 20 Q. And please tell the jury how much (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3364, 240 meters of polypropylene suture material is in a Prolift polypropylene, marked for identification.) 21 21 22 22 anterior mesh. 23 A. So it is -- it is about 240 meters of 23 BY MR. ANDERSON: 24 polypropylene fiber that is used in the Prolift Q. I will mark this as Plaintiff's

Page 22

- 1 Exhibit P3364. Did I ask you to measure out 240
- 2 meters of polypropylene?
- 3 A. Yes. I --
- 4 Q. Could you, please -- and did you measure that
- 5 yourself?
- 6 A. Yeah. I did it myself, and I actually walked
- 7 20 times in my room or at my house --
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. -- to get this -- the length of this suture
- 10 material.
- Q. If you would just lay that on the piece of
- 12 material next to it.
- 13 A. Yeah.
- Q. Now, Doctor, we're not as familiar in the
- 15 United States with the metric system. How many yards
- of material does 240 meters relate to?
- A. It is equal to 260 yards.
- Q. And you know in the US we play football, and
- 19 a football field is 100 yards long. So is this more
- than two and a half football fields of material
- 21 that's woven into a Prolene anterior mesh?
- A. Exactly. Or if you don't play football, it
- is three times the height of the Statue of Liberty in
- 24 New York.

- 1 A. Yes.
 - Q. Just briefly tell the jury in your own words
 - 3 what mesh contraction or mesh shrinkage is.
 - A. When we are talking about mesh shrinkage, we

Page 24

Page 25

- 5 usually are thinking of the contraction of the scar
- 6 tissue around the mesh.
 - Q. And is scar tissue -- is there another -- is
- 8 fibrosis also another word for scar tissue?
- 9 A. Yes. Fibrosis is -- the fibrosis around the
- 10 mesh is usually the scar tissue.
- Q. So if this piece of paper is the mesh as it's
- in the body, can you explain to the jury what we're
 - 3 talking about in terms of mesh shrinkage or
- contraction if that's the implant and the black here
- is -- the table is the tissue?
- A. When the mesh is usually incorporated into
- the scar tissue, and we know that scar contracts. It
- lose a lot of water, and, therefore, when the scar is
- contracting, it is pushing together the implant like
- this. It can be up to 90 percent that the mesh
- 21 material is reduced by this contraction of the scar.
- Q. Have you published in the peer-reviewed
- 3 literature on the subject of mesh shrinkage and
- 4 contraction and the resulting clinical consequence to

Page 23

- 1 Q. Okay. All right. Thank you.
- 2 Doctor, do you have an opinion to a
- ³ reasonable degree of medical and scientific certainty
- 4 as to whether there will be a different amount of
- 5 foreign body reaction and inflammation in a patient's
- 6 tissues to less than one inch of suture material of
- ⁷ polypropylene versus more than two and a half
- 8 football fields of polypropylene material? Do you
- 9 have an opinion?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is that opinion?
- 12 A. If you place this huge amount of material in
- a comparatively small area, you will have a
- 14 significantly higher intensity and amount of
- inflammation and scar tissue as a reaction to this
- 16 huge amount of material.
- Q. Okay. Doctor, we can put those to the side
- 18 for the moment.
- I would like to now -- sorry. I would now
- 20 like to talk to you about the relationship between
- 21 this foreign body reaction to polypropylene mesh and
- ²² a concept known as mesh contraction or mesh
- 23 shrinkage. Are you familiar with those terms, mesh
- shrinkage or mesh contraction?

- 1 patients?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. How many publications over what period of
- 4 time relate to mesh shrinkage and mesh contraction
- 5 that you have authored?
- 6 MR. THOMAS: Objection, without more
- ⁷ specificity into what area of the body.
- 8 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 9 Q. Doctor, have you published in the
- 10 peer-reviewed literature on the subject of mesh
- shrinkage and contraction of polypropylene meshes,
- 12 like the Prolift mesh, resulting in clinical
- 13 consequences to patients?
- 14 A. Yes.

17

- MR. THOMAS: Same objection.
- 16 BY MR. ANDERSON:
 - Q. How many times have you done that, Doctor?
- A. It's more than 50.
- Q. Doctor, in terms of inflammatory response and
- this foreign body reaction that we have been talking
 - to, will that -- will there be any difference between
- a surgical mesh implanted, for instance, in the
- abdominal wall and these principles versus a surgical
- mesh implanted in the pelvic floor?

Page 26 Page 28 1 MR. THOMAS: Objection; foundation. 1 MR. THOMAS: Just note my objection to this 2 2 THE WITNESS: The wound contraction is not before you show the jury what the picture is 3 3 until we have an adequate foundation for the jury restricted to the abdominal wall. It happens in 4 the entire body. The foreign body responds. The to see the picture. 5 scarring around a foreign body happens in every BY MR. ANDERSON: 6 part of the body, and in this regard the response Q. And you reviewed this article in coming to 7 your opinions in this case? of the tissue to the -- to a mesh, it's quite 8 8 A. Yes. similar whether it is in the pelvic floor or 9 9 whether it's in the abdominal cavity. O. And does this article involve the Prolift 10 10 BY MR. ANDERSON: mesh? 11 11 A. Yes. Q. Doctor, I now want to explain to the jury 12 12 what the consequences to the patient are of severe Q. And if we're looking at this image contained inflammation and contraction of polypropylene mesh within the article, what are we looking at here, 14 like Prolift. Okay? 14 Doctor? A. Yes. 15 15 A. It is showing the explanted mesh material 16 that is in -- taken off in several parts, and you see 17 that it is incorporated into a lot of scar tissue, (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. PLT0067, Article 18 entitled "Complications from vaginally placed mesh in that it is deformed, that it's not laying very flat 19 pelvic reconstructive surgery", was marked for in this area. identification.) 20 20 MR. THOMAS: Just show --21 21 THE WITNESS: It's hard to identify the 22 22 BY MR. ANDERSON: textile structure in this compound of scar. 23 Q. I'm handing you what has been marked as 23 MR. THOMAS: I just want to show my Plaintiff's Exhibit 0067. It is PLT0067. 24 continuing objection for lack of foundation. Page 27 Page 29 1 MR. THOMAS: This has a sticky on it. Is MR. ANDERSON: Noted. 2 that yours? BY MR. ANDERSON: 3 MR. ANDERSON: What I have done for you, Q. As a hernia surgeon, did you remove 4 contracted polypropylene meshes from patients? Counsel, is on every one of these documents, 5 since they're multipaged, to make it easier for A. Yeah. We did a lot. And the appearance of 6 you to reference them, I flagged the pages for these meshes are completely similar to this. We 7 have -- in these contracted meshes, we have this huge 8 amount of scar tissue. The advantage in the MR. THOMAS: Thank you. 9 MR. ANDERSON: You're welcome. abdominal wall is that we are able to explant them in 10 BY MR. ANDERSON: 10 total and, whereas in this area, it usually is taken 11 Q. Do you recognize this article as something 11 off in parts. 12 you reviewed in arriving at your opinions in this 12 Q. And you say, "in this area." You removed 13 mesh from the pelvic floor? 13 case? 14 14 A. Yes. A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review Q. And it says -- is this article from the Dr. Howard Jordi's expert report in this case? International Urogynecological Journal in 2009 16 16 17 17 significant to your opinions in this case? A. Yes. 18 A. Yes, it is. 18 Q. Did you see photos in his report of mesh that 19 Q. If you will please turn with me to page 529. 19 had been taken out of Ms. Bellew? 20 MR. ANDERSON: And if you'll highlight --20 A. Yes. 21 Michael, if you'll highlight the bottom right 21 Q. And did you rely on those photos in his 22 22 report in arriving at your opinions here today? image. 23 BY MR. ANDERSON: 23 A. Yes. 24 24 MR. THOMAS: Objection. To my knowledge, Q. Doctor --

Page 30 Page 32 1 the -- any specific causation testimony of 1 compare to contracted mesh removed due to 2 Ms. Bellew is not contained in his report. Am I complications like we've seen in these -- in these 3 recent photographs from the Blandon article as well 4 MR. ANDERSON: We're not going to talk about as this from Ms. Bellew? 5 A. The mesh, when it's taken out of the box, causation. 6 MR. THOMAS: Okay. Show my objection to any usually is very soft, pliable, flexible, whereas a 7 plaintiff-specific testimony by Dr. Klinge. mesh that is integrated into this scar tissue usually 8 MR. ANDERSON: I'll show your objection. is rigid, stiff, not flexible, not stretchable any 9 longer; and, therefore, it is going to be in sharp 10 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3356, Page 20 of contrast to the properties of the surrounding tissue. 1386 from Howard Jordi's expert report containing 11 Q. Doctor, did you prepare a slide for the jury 11 12 photographs, was marked for identification.) 12 regarding mesh inflammation and contraction and their 13 relation to consequences for the patient? 14 14 BY MR. ANDERSON: A. Yes. 15 15 Q. I'm handing you what's been premarked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3356. Is that one of the 16 16 (Plaintiff's Demonstrative Exhibit No. P3359, 17 photographs that you saw from Dr. Jordi's report of PowerPoint slide entitled "Patient Injury Due to Mesh 18 explanted mesh from Ms. Bellew? 18 Inflammation and Contraction", was marked for 19 A. Yes, it is. identification.) 20 20 Q. Was this image significant to your opinions 21 in this case? 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: 22 22 A. Yes. Q. I'm showing you what we have marked as 23 Q. Can you please explain the significance of 23 Plaintiff's Exhibit P3359. Is that the slide? 24 that image in relation to what we just -- what the A. Yes. Page 31 Page 33 jury just saw? 1 1 Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: If you could just bring in the 2 2 A. This image --3 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Let me place my 3 first bullet points. 4 4 MR. THOMAS: Just show my objection to this objection. I object to this because it is not a 5 disclosed opinion in the report that Ben here --5 demonstrative and testimony about this because 6 that's at issue in this deposition and also 6 the patient has not been designated for the 7 7 quantitative risks of complications in the pelvic because this witness is designated on general 8 causation issues and not on plaintiff-specific 8 floor. 9 BY MR. ANDERSON: issues. 10 BY MR. ANDERSON: 10 Q. Doctor, if we look here to these first two 11 Q. Does the appearance of Ms. Bellew's bullet points, can you tell us why you created these 12 contracted mesh in -- does the appearance of the mesh 12 for the jury? 13 in -- from Dr. Jordi's report -- what do you see from 13 A. One of our important findings in these years 14 that -- from that image, sir? 14 of research together with Ethicon was that this mesh 15 15 inflammation, this inflammatory region around the A. On this photograph you see the folding of a 16 mesh that is incorporated into very big amount of 16 foreign body, it's a permanent one. It is -- it 17 scar tissue, and it is a confirmation that this 17 doesn't stop after three weeks or four weeks, but it 18 phenomenon is not limited to the abdominal wall, but 18 stays there as a chronic wound until the end of the 19 it happens in every part of the body. 19 life of the patient; and this chronic wound leads to 20 Q. Now, Doctor, you have seen the Prolift 20 a permanent tissue irritation. 21 anterior mesh as it comes out of the box? Have you 21 In some patients there's always some sort of 22 seen that? 22 scarring that is protecting the surrounding tissues 23 from the foreign body, but in some patients this A. Yes. 24 Q. How does the Prolift mesh out of the box scarring is very, very severe; and it leads that

Page 34 Page 36

- 1 almost all the entire area where the mesh was been 2 placed is blocked by this scarring.
- 3 MR. THOMAS: Just show my objection to any
- 4 testimony to Bullets 2, 3 and 4 because it calls
- 5 for testimony about risks in the pelvic floor
- 6 which is beyond this witness's expertise.
- BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 8 Q. Doctor, over the course of your 20 years of
- research, how many explants from the abdominal wall
- 10 have you looked at and analyzed?
- 11 A. We have meanwhile several thousand of
- 12 explanted meshes here that we have had a look to and
- 13 we try to analyze.
- 14 Q. As part of this body of work over the last 20
- years, how many explanted meshes from the pelvic
- floor have you analyzed?
- 17 A. It's up to 500.
- 18 Q. Okay. So with regard to these thousands of
- 19 hernia mesh explants from humans that you have
- analyzed and hundreds of pelvic floor explants that
- you've analyzed over the course of these 20 years,
- ²² have you recognized similarities between the
- contraction of the explanted abdominal wall meshes
- and the explanted pelvic floor meshes?

- polypropylene meshes that have been explanted?
- A. We always found similar tissue response, and
- we didn't find any significant difference in the
- tissue reaction.
 - Q. And with regard to the first two bullet
- points on the slide that we were talking about a few
- minutes ago, mesh inflammation is permanent and in
- some patients mesh scarring is severe. Have you
- arrived at those opinions based upon your 20 years of
- work, all of your peer-reviewed publications, the
- 11 conferences you've spoken at around the world, the
- conferences to urogynecologists and urologists at the
- request of Ethicon, your review of thousands of
- hernia mesh explants and your review of hundreds of
- pelvic floor explants and over 50 that you have
- reviewed yourself?
- 17 MR. THOMAS: Objection.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, exactly.
- 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of question.
- 20 THE WITNESS: It is.
- 21 MR. ANDERSON: Whatever.
- 22 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 23 Q. Go ahead.
- 24 A. The permanence of the mesh inflammation, it's

Page 35

- MR. THOMAS: Show my objection to this to the 1
 - extent it's based upon his review of the
- 3 Klosterhalfen explants of the pelvic floor which
- 4 this court has already ruled is inappropriate for
- 5 him on which to rely for his opinions.
- MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, how about just saying 6
- 7 "objection"?

2

- 8 MR. THOMAS: Because I didn't think it would
- 9 be clear from the record.
- 10 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 11 Q. Have you personally reviewed pelvic floor
- 12 explants?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How many personal -- how many personal
- reviews of pelvic floor explants have you done, 15
- 16 Dr. Klinge?
- 17 MR. THOMAS: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: It's about 50. 18
- 19 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 20 O. Okay. So of these 50 meshes that have been
- taken out of women's bodies that you've analyzed and
- 22 the hundreds, if not thousands, that you've looked at
- of hernia mesh explants, have you noticed
- similarities in terms of the tissue response to the

- 1 a fact and that in some of these cases you have an
- extended scarring. It is a fact. It is not related
- to some specific location in the body.
- 4 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Let's show the next two
- 5 bullet points.
- BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. Doctor, based upon your review of all of the
- Ethicon materials and the Ethicon depositions, your
- review of the scientific literature in this case,
- your 20 years of experience as a biomaterials
- researcher, your experience as a hernia surgeon who's
- treated -- not only implanted but treated
- complications related to hernia, your review of
- thousands of hernia mesh explants, your analysis
- personally of 50 pelvic floor explants, and all of
- the work that you've done to arrive at your opinions
- 17 in this case, can you state to a reasonable degree of
- 18 medical and scientific certainty as to whether or not
- 19 these two bullet points here are accurate?
- 20 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form. Object;
- goes beyond his expertise and beyond his 22 designation in the report.

21

- 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. It is -- it is a fact
- 24 that if you have a chronic wound with a lot of

Page 37

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3760-5 Filed 242117 Page 13 of 59 PageID #: 134436 Page 38 Page 40 1 scar tissue, that, of course, you have a higher 1 Q. Are these documents significant to your 2 risk for chronic pain, because of a higher risk opinions -- strike that. 3 3 Have you seen in the internal documents and for getting nerves that are entrapped into the 4 scar tissue; and in the area of the pelvic floor depositions of Ethicon witnesses discussions 5 you have a higher risk of dyspareunia, erosions regarding patient complications related to mesh 6 contraction? and organ dysfunction. And, therefore, the 7 extent of inflammation and scarring is a very big A. Yes. 8 Q. Are these documents significant to your concern for the patient's safety. And if you 9 opinions in this case? have a lot of inflammation, a lot of scar, this 9 10 carries a lot of risks for the patients. 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Have you studied and published in the 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: 12 Q. Is there any way for a surgeon who is 12 peer-reviewed literature on the amount of shrinkage 13 implanting a Prolift mesh or a hernia mesh to know or contraction that will occur in the human body to the extent of scarring and contraction that will polypropylene surgical meshes? 15 15 occur over the patient's life in and around the mesh A. Yes. 16 16 or a way to control it? Q. What have your studies shown regarding the 17 amount of shrinkage that occurs with polypropylene A. Not in regard to the specific response of a 18 patient, but for the general statement that the more 18 meshes in the human body? material, the more inflammation, then, of course, you 19 A. Roughly you have to estimate a shrinkage, a 20 can estimate it. contraction of about 30 to 50 percent, but it depends 21 Q. Based upon your years of consulting with on the design of the mesh. So it can be much more; 22 Ethicon and all of your work over the last 20 years, it can be a little bit less. 23 and your work with Ethicon, do you have personal Q. How does the amount of foreign body material knowledge as to whether Ethicon was aware of these in the mesh relate to the amount of mesh shrinkage or Page 39 Page 41 issues with contraction and inflammation of their 1 contraction that will occur in the tissue? polypropylene meshes that you have discussed? A. The more material, the more inflammation, the 3 MR. THOMAS: Objection to what Ethicon knew. more scar, the more contraction, the more shrinkage. 4 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Dr. Klinge, in the internal documents that 5 Q. Again, based upon your personal knowledge as you have reviewed from Ethicon, have you seen a consultant with Ethicon, did you have discussions anywhere where they mention or address these concerns 6 7 with them about the contraction of their over the amount of material with their Prolift mesh? 8 A. Yes, I did. 8 polypropylene meshes? 9 A. Yes, I definitely know that we have discussed 9 10 this during our working group meetings with people 10 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P0036, PowerPoint from Ethicon, exactly this problem of shrinkage, and presentation entitled "Stand & Deliver - Pelvic Floor 12 we have been trying to figure out what are the main 12 Repair", was marked for identification.) 13 13 reasons. So, yeah, it was a finding from Ethicon as 14 well as from us --14 BY MR. ANDERSON: 15 Q. And did you --15 Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as 16 A. -- working hand in hand. Plaintiff's Exhibit P0036. 16 17 17 Q. I'm so sorry. Is this document something that you have 18 And in this working hand in hand with Ethicon 18 reviewed during your work in this litigation? 19 in coming to these questions regarding mesh shrinkage 19 A. Yes, I did. and its relationship to patient complications, did 20 Q. Is it significant to your opinions in this

21

22

23

24

case?

A. Yes.

document?

MR. THOMAS: What is the date of this

you publish, while you were a consultant with

22 Ethicon, in the peer-reviewed literature on these

21

24

23 issues?

A. Yes.

Page 42 Page 44 1 MR. ANDERSON: It's in your production, and 1 Initiation, August 25, 2008, was marked for 2 identification.) so any Ethicon production, according to the 3 3 metadata, I believe it's 2008, but we can 4 certainly check that on a break. BY MR. ANDERSON: 5 Q. Okay. I'm showing you what has been marked MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Just note my 6 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1156. objection to the use of any company documents 7 Are you familiar with this document, after the date of Mrs. Bellew's surgery. Dr. Klinge? 8 MR. ANDERSON: Oh, this is certainly before 8 9 9 A. Yes, I am. Ms. Bellew's surgery. 10 Q. Is this something that you reviewed during MR. THOMAS: I just wanted to make sure. 10 11 your work in this litigation? Thank you, Ben. 11 12 12 A. Yes, I did. BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 Q. Is this document significant to your opinions 13 Q. And is it significant to your opinions here 14 in this case? 14 today? 15 15 A. Yes. A. Yes, it is. Q. Just explain briefly what this document is, 16 16 Q. If we turn to page 12 of the document, is Dr. Klinge. 17 this slide significant to your opinions? 17 18 A. It's a PowerPoint presentation from Ethicon. 18 19 19 MR. ANDERSON: Can you blow up the right-hand Q. Okay. If you go to page 7 of the document in this Ethicon PowerPoint, you have seen this slide? 20 side of that? BY MR. ANDERSON: 21 21 A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Okay. Is this important to your opinions? Q. Doctor, is this part of the PowerPoint slide 23 significant? And, if so, why? A. Yes, it is important. 23 24 24 Q. Can you explain why, please? A. In this PowerPoint presentation from 2008 Page 43 Page 45 MR. ANDERSON: Blow up the -- yes. 1 1 from Ethicon, it is clearly stated that we need less 2 ² foreign body material. We need materials that THE WITNESS: The topic of this slide is 3 "Improved Tissue Response," and so to get an correlate to the physiological characteristics. So 4 improved tissue response, the people that make it expresses that these people want to have less 5 this presentation, they cited my work with material to make it safer. 6 Klosterhalfen --Q. Based upon your review of all of the 7 materials in this litigation and all the depositions MR. ANDERSON: If you could blow that up. 8 THE WITNESS: -- where we wrote down the as well as your years of consulting with Ethicon, did 9 entire concept of the lightweight and large pore you determine whether or not they ever manufactured a 10 concept, and they figured out that for an pelvic organ prolapse mesh with mesh that was 11 improved tissue response, you need a large porous 11 actually designed for the pelvic floor? 12 construction to reduce the tissue response. So 12 A. No, I didn't -- didn't find any -- any 13 13 they are in line completely with what we have information to this. 14 found during these years, and they accepted it, 14 Q. The Gynemesh PS mesh and Prolift, was that 15 15 designed by Ethicon as a hernia mesh or a pelvic obviously. 16 BY MR. ANDERSON: 16 floor mesh? 17 17 Q. And is this in line -- how does this relate A. To my knowledge, it was designed as a 18 to your opinions regarding the amount of foreign body 18 material-reduced hernia mesh. 19 reaction and the amount of inflammation? 19 Q. Okay. Dr. Klinge, I want to shift gears here 20 a little and talk to you about another mesh design A. It's exactly in accordance. So, yeah. 21 21 characteristic, and that is the pores. What are mesh 22 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1156, PowerPoint 22 pores? A. A mesh is more or less a net, and the pore is presentation entitled "T-Pro (Thunder) - Pipeline 23 Leadership Team (PLT) - State Gate Discovery the area in between the filaments.

Page 46

- 1 Q. Is the size of the pores or these open spaces
- 2 in the mesh material something that you have studied
- over the last 20 years, published on in the
- 4 peer-reviewed literature, consulted with Ethicon
- 5 about and presented at conferences around the world
- 6 over the last 20 years?
- 7 A. We did it extensively.
- 8 Q. Is it also these open spaces or these pores a
- ⁹ design principle that you have used to work with
- 10 manufacturers to design safer meshes?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell us what the significance of
- 13 these pores or open spaces are with regard to the
- 14 tissue response for patients?
- 15 A. The size of a pore means a critical parameter
- to predict what happens when the tissue is -- or when \| 16
- the mesh is incorporated into the tissue. If you
- 18 have very small pores, then the -- or the space in
- between the fibers is completely filled by scar
- 20 tissue; and that makes the mesh stiff and rigid,
- 21 whereas if you have very large pores, large distances
- between the fibers, the body is able to fill the
- pores with fat tissue, and then the mesh remains
- ²⁴ flexible, stretchable. So the small pores means a

- Page 48
- the critical pore size or open space must be for a
 surgical mesh implant for both hernia repair and
- ³ pelvic floor repair in order to be safe in the
- 4 tissues?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And what is that opinion?
 - A. The larger the pores, the safer it is to --
- 8 the larger the pores, the lower the risk for this
- ⁹ bridging; and for polypropylene, the critical figure
- o is about 1 millimeter.
- Q. And, again, we're on the metric system, but I
- 12 know the jury is going to see documents that some of
- them are in millimeters and some are microns. What
- does 1 millimeter equal in terms of microns?
- A. It equals 1,000 microns.
 - Q. So 1 millimeter equals 1,000 microns?
- 17 A. Yes.

20

- Q. So would -- I'm not real good at math, so
- would 3 millimeters equal 3,000 microns?
 - A. Exactly.
- Q. Okay. I got that one right.
- I know that you said you have over 1,000
 - ³ publications in the peer-reviewed literature on the
 - 4 safe design of surgical meshes. We obviously can't

Page 47

- 1 considerable risk for the patient, whereas the larger
- 2 the pore, the less of the risk.
- Q. Is another way to say the larger the pore,
- 4 the greater the distance between the fibers of the
- 5 mesh?
- 6 A. Yes.
- ⁷ Q. Okay. Have you conducted research over the
- 8 last 20 years, published peer-reviewed studies, and
- 9 worked as an Ethicon consultant on sufficient pore
- size or how large these openings need to be in
- surgical meshes to prevent these patient consequences
- 12 you are talking about?
- 13 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And has that research included analyzing the
- pore size of meshes that are surgically removed from
- animal models, abdominal wall, as well as the pelvic
- 17 floor?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And from your background, training, research,
- your peer-reviewed publications, all your work for
- 21 the past 20 years. Your consulting work with
- 22 Ethicon, all the materials that you have reviewed in
- this case, do you have an opinion to a reasonable
- degree of medical and scientific certainty as to what

- 1 go through all of those today, but I did want to show
- 2 the jury just a couple of your peer-reviewed
- 3 publications contained in your research on the
- 4 relationship between inflammation and contraction and
- 5 adequate mesh pore size for polypropylene meshes.
- 6 Okay?

8

- 7 A. Yes.
 - -
- 9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. PLT0260, Article
- 10 entitled "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the
 - 1 Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model", was marked
- 12 for identification.)
- 13 ---
- 14 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. I'm showing you what we have marked as
- 16 Plaintiff's Exhibit PLT0260.
- MR. ANDERSON: Counsel.
- 18 If you'll highlight the top.
- 19 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. Is this one of your peer-reviewed
- 21 publications on polymer pore size?
- A. Yes, it is.

24

- MR. ANDERSON: And if we could turn to
 - page 213 and enlarge the left side of the

Page 49

Page 50

- document down there where it says "loose
- 2 network."
- 3 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 4 Q. Doctor, drawing your attention to this part
- of your peer-reviewed publication from 2002, what
- 6 does that statement tell us in terms of this
- ⁷ relationship between these -- the distance between
- 8 the fibers and patient complications?
- ⁹ A. In this publication from 2002, we described
- that we found that if you have larger pores of more
- than 1, 2 millimeters, then the scar tissue is
- 12 limited to the fibers and that the pores, the holes
- in between the fibers, are filled by fat tissue. So
- 14 these are the good pores, whereas if you have smaller
- pores, less than 1 millimeter, you usually have scar
- 16 tissue linking these fibers strongly to each other
- and making the entire implant stiff and rigid.
- Q. And just to be clear, Doctor, does that mean
- 19 that every pore from an explanted mesh that is less
- than 1,000 microns, that every one of them is going
- 21 to be filled with scar?
- A. No. But the risk is very, very high that
 - these pores are filled by scar tissue; and,
- therefore, you have to say, the larger the pore, the

- Q. And in this 2005 article, does it discuss
 - 2 your research on inflammation and scarring and its
 - 3 relationship to adequate pore size?
 - A. Yes.
 - 5 MR. ANDERSON: If we go to the second page of

Page 52

Page 53

- 6 the article and we blow up this left side, if you
- 7 could draw up some language there and do the
- 8 photograph underneath it as well. Thank you,
- 9 Michael.

14

16

- 10 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. Explain to the jury if this has any
- 12 significance to you in this relationship of pore size
 - of a mesh and patient complications.
 - A. So this manuscript basically is a summary of
- 15 these ten-year works.
 - Q. Ten-year work with Ethicon you mean?
- 17 A. With Ethicon where we wanted to develop safer
- 18 meshes together with them. And you see an example of
- small pore meshes on the left side with the number
- $^{\rm 20}$ $\,$ "A" there. These are very small pores, and these are
- 21 all filled up by scar tissue, making this rigid mesh
- 22 scar compound.
- Q. Well, it's not filled with scar tissue in
- 24 this picture.

Page 51

- 1 lesser the risk.
- Q. So the higher the -- the further the distance
- of the fibers above 1,000 microns, the less risk of
- 4 this bridging of the scar tissue?
- 5 A. Yeah, less risk and make it more safer, then,
- 6 for the patient.
- ⁷ Q. Okay. And if we could just turn to the last
- ⁸ page.
- 9 Who funded this study that you did regarding
- 10 impact of polymer pore science?
- 11 A. These findings were part and as a result of
- 12 our collaboration in these ten years where we worked
- 13 together with the people from Ethicon.
- 14

15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. PLT0271, Article

- entitled "The lightweight and large porous concept
- 17 for hernia repair", was marked for identification.)
- 18 --
- 19 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. I'm now handing you what has been marked as
- 21 Plaintiff's PLT0271.
- Do you recognize this as another one of your
- peer-reviewed publications?
- 24 A. Yes.

- A. No. These -- these are images from the
- 2 textile that you're taking out of the box; but if you
- 3 are placing these meshes into the tissues, then you
- 4 will find as a result this integration into thick
- 5 scar tissue, making this small pore net a high risk
- 6 device for -- with a high risk for getting
- 7 complications.
- 8 On the right side you see what is possible,
- ⁹ and that is the development that we, together with
- 10 Ethicon, realized, that we enlarged -- we make the
- pores much larger, up to 3 to 5 millimeters. And if
- you place this textile construction into the tissues
- and then look afterwards what happens, you will find
- a very flexible, thin mesh tissue compound which is
- integrated into fat tissue. And, therefore, it is a
- good example that by reduction of the material to 30
 - percent of this of the left side by making the pores
- 18 larger, that you can improve significantly the
- ¹⁹ reaction of their surrounding tissue.
- Q. So when you were talking about wanting to
- 21 have this good tissue, this fat tissue in the pores
- or the open spaces, in the figure to the right in B,
 - would that be the area where it's the open diamond
- 24 space?

Page 54 Page 56 1 A. Yes. A. Bridging means that the scar is filling out 2 Q. That's the area you want the fat tissue to completely the pores, the room in between the fibers, 3 grow? which means a risk. 4 A. There we want to have this fat tissue, Q. A risk -- what type of risk, Doctor? because this fat tissue keeps it flexible, A. A risk for contraction of the scar and the stretchable, elastic. mesh and pain and erosion and dysfunction. 7 Q. As the pore sizes of a mesh in use are 8 greater than 1 millimeter or 1,000 microns, in your (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P1679, PowerPoint 9 presentation entitled "Factors related to mesh opinion will that reduce the risk of contraction? shrinkage - What do we know? A review of literature 10 A. Yes. and internal studies", was marked for 11 Q. And with pore sizes in use that are less than 12 12 identification.) 1,000 microns, or 1 millimeter, in your opinion will 13 that increase the risk of mesh shrinkage or 14 BY MR. ANDERSON: 14 contraction? 15 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a document, 15 A. Definitely, yes. MR. THOMAS: Just show my objection to 16 Plaintiff's Exhibit P1679. 16 17 17 Have you seen this document before, Doctor? leading questions. 18 A. Yes, I've seen it. 18 BY MR. ANDERSON: 19 19 Q. Go ahead. Q. And is it something that you saw in this litigation and it is significant to your opinions in 20 A. So definitely. A pore size below 21 this case? 1 millimeter will increase the risk for scar bridging 22 A. Yes, it is. and will increase the risk for complications. 23 23 Q. Okay. And what is this document, if you Q. Dr. Klinge, I now want to talk about another concept with you, and that's about how and when it is would? Page 55 Page 57 A. It's an Ethicon document showing or dealing 1 important to measure the pores of meshes like Prolift. I know that you asked me to bring a with the factors related to mesh shrinkage. 3 Q. If we could just turn over, please, to basketball net here today to help provide at least a page 12 of this PowerPoint. And what is the date on simple explanation to begin with of the importance of the bottom left there? the pore measurements; is that correct? MR. ANDERSON: If you could blow that up. 6 6 A. Yes. 7 7 BY MR. ANDERSON: MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Just for demonstrative Q. What is the date of this PowerPoint, Doctor? 8 8 purposes and for the record, we have marked the 9 A. It is done in 2007. 9 basketball net as Plaintiff's Exhibit P3365. 10 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Take down the blowup. 10 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: 11 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3365, Basketball 12 Q. And what do we find from this particular 12 net, was marked for identification.) 13 slide? 13 14 MR. ANDERSON: And if you would highlight the 14 MR. ANDERSON: Are you ready? Okay. Thank 15 bullet point 2 from this Ethicon PowerPoint. 15 you. THE WITNESS: The people who made this, they 16 16 BY MR. ANDERSON: 17 17 acknowledge that the pore size has to be more Q. So, Doctor, explain what you're trying to than 1 millimeter to avoid this fibrotic bridging 18 18 show the jury here with regard to pore size and pore 19 or this dangerous scarring of the holes. 19 measurement. 20 BY MR. ANDERSON: 20 A. So we know that the pore size is critical for 21 Q. Okay. So fibrotic bridging, fibrosis relates 21 the tissue reaction. We want to have large pores. 22 to what? When you are taking out a net of the box, then the 23 A. Scar. pores may be sufficient; but if you have it in use, 24 Q. And bridging relates to what? if you implanted it and if the surgeon puts some even

Page 58

- 1 slight forces to it, you see that you have this
- ² collapse of pores, that even very small pores can get
- 3 very, very small. And, therefore, by applying these
- 4 forces to a net, it can make a large pore net to a
- 5 small pore net and, thereby, increases the risks
- 6 considerably.

7

- Q. So in your opinion, in terms of patient
- 8 safety, when is it important to look at the greatest
- 9 distance between the fibers? Before it goes in the
- 10 body or after?
- 11 MR. THOMAS: Objection.
- Q. Or after it's used?
- MR. THOMAS: Objection; foundation, expertise
- 14 designation.
- 15 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 16 Q. Go ahead, Doctor.
- A. You have to take into account that any force
- 18 can lead to a pore collapse, and if you want to use a
- mesh in an area where these forces may occur, then,
- 20 of course, you have to analyze the pore size in use.
- Q. Doctor, did you do testing on the Prolift
- 22 mesh to determine what would happen to the Prolift
- pores when stretch forces are applied, for instance,
- when the Prolift is going to be implanted in a woman?

- Page 60 machine, the details of it in 2007, and later on some
- of the results in 2013.
- Q. And do those peer-reviewed publications cover
- 4 all of the protocols and the test methods and the
- 5 setup and analysis of the testing?
- 6 A. Yes. In every detail.
 - Q. And did one of those publications actually
- 8 involve testing of the Prolift device on this machine
- 9 in order to investigate the pores after stretch is
- 10 applied?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And were you involved in the development of
- 13 the testing and the protocols and parameters for the
- pore testing for that 2013 article looking at the
- 15 Prolift mesh?
- A. Yes, I was involved in all of this, and I was
- 17 the medical doctor who was responsible for the
- 18 interpretation and analysis of this data.
- Q. Did the testing that was published by you in
- 20 2013 include testing on the Prolift arms?
- 21 A. Yes, it did.

- - -

- (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. PLT0697, Article
- nan? 24 entitled "Elongation of textile pelvic floor implants

Page 59

22

23

- 1 Did you do testing?
- 2 A. We did it.
- ³ Q. Please explain just generally the testing
- 4 that you were involved in regarding looking at the
- 5 pores after forces are applied to them.
- 6 A. In 2005 I met with a colleague of mine from
- ⁷ the technical university, Prof. Dr. Thomas Mühl, and
- 8 we wanted to develop a machine that makes it possible
- 9 to really measure pore sizes and to really give a
- 10 measurement what happens to the pores when applying
- some forces to it. We want to have a measurement
- 12 that is reproducible, that is objective, and that is
- 13 reliable. And this has not been done before and,
- 14 therefore, we developed this machine and finally
- could finish our work in 2007.
- Q. Was that work published in the peer-reviewed
- 17 literature?
- A. Yes. We publish everything and didn't want
- 19 to restrict it because we -- we want to make it
- 20 public so that everyone can use this way to optimize
- 21 his research and development.
- 22 Q. And when was that information published in
- 23 the peer-reviewed literature?
- A. We published this, the technique, the

- 1 under load is related to complete loss of effective
- 2 porosity, thereby favoring incorporation in scar
- ³ plates", was marked for identification.)
 - ---
- 5 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 6 Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as
- 7 Plaintiff's Exhibit PLT0697. Doctor, is this that
- 8 2013 publication regarding your testing of the
- 9 Prolift device?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.

11

18

- MR. ANDERSON: If you would hit that. Yeah.
- 12 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. And if you could please go with me to page 5.
- 4 And we have blown up the left-hand side and have some
- 15 images as well as the figure box below that.
- What are we seeing here, Doctor, in terms of
- the testing in this scientific research that you did?
 - A. In the upper part you are just seeing an
- 19 image of the Gynemesh PS or the Prolift mesh without
- applying any tension to it. You see the black lines.
- 21 These are the fibers, and the room in between these
- are the pores.
- Q. And, Doctor, how much force was applied to
 - 4 the Prolift arms that we're seeing in this testing

Page 61

Page 62 Page 64 1 that was published in 2013? 1 Applicability/Suitability, Bates stamped 2 ETH.MESH.01992234 through ETH.MESH.01992237, was A. So, yeah, I forgot to explain. The lower image shows the same structure when applying some marked for identification.) force to it. So you see the same change as you see with the basketball net. When you apply some forces BY MR. ANDERSON: to it, you find -- you see a collapse of the pores. Q. I'm showing you, Doctor, what the plaintiffs have marked and is noted on the slide as Plaintiff's The pores -- the force that we applied in this 0777, is this the document where they estimated 8 experiment was 4.9 newton. That is around one pound. 9 approximately 5 pounds of force? Q. How did you determine what forces you were going to place on the Prolift arms during this 10 10 A. Yes, it is. testing that's published in 2013? 11 Q. And does 2.3 newtons per centimeter estimate 11 12 12 to about 5 pounds of force? A. We had been looking to the many Ethicon 13 internal documents, and we wanted to keep below their 13 A. Yes. 14 limits what they assume to be reasonable limits, and 15 15 we found two references, at least two references. (Plaintiff's Demonstrative Exhibit No. P3357, Document Bates stamped ETH-01755, was marked for 16 Q. And when you're saying references, are you 17 17 identification.) talking about references in the Ethicon documents to 18 the foreseeable amounts of forces that would be 18 19 19 placed on the arms during the implantation of the BY MR. ANDERSON: 20 Prolift? 20 Q. Okay. And then showing you what has been 21 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit P3357, is this the A. Yes. 22 document that you referenced in terms of Ethicon 23 stating that you could estimate 12 pounds of force by (Plaintiff's Demonstrative Exhibit No. P3360, PowerPoint slide, a blowup referencing PLT0697, the surgeon being placed on the Prolift arms during a Page 63 Page 65 "Elongation of textile pelvic floor implants under 1 Prolift procedure? 2 load is related to complete loss of effective A. Exactly. porosity, thereby favoring incorporation in scar 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. plates", was marked for identification.) Doctor, what is the significance of your 5 findings with regard to the mesh that we see that has 6 BY MR. ANDERSON: 6 had 1.1 pounds of force applied to it in terms of the 7 Q. Okay. And we created a slide, I think, to tissue response in the patient? help the jury with that demonstrative, Plaintiff's A. It clearly demonstrates and confirms that you 9 Exhibit 3360. have a change of the pore size when applying some 10 MR. ANDERSON: If you could just put in those force to it and that even very low forces can lead to 11 two references. very, very small pore mesh -- meshes; and, therefore, 12 BY MR. ANDERSON: the application of slight forces changing the 13 Q. And, Doctor, are these the two references appearance of a mesh like this will increase risks. 14 from the Ethicon documents regarding two different Q. Okay. Well, put it simply, what does that 15 estimated forces that may be placed on the arms? 15 mesh on the bottom mean to the patient? 16 16 A. Yes. In these two documents you find either MR. THOMAS: Objection; foundation, 17 5 pounds in the one document or even 12 pounds in the 17 expertise. 18 other, and so we wanted to be below this range, not 18 BY MR. ANDERSON: 19 to show that there is a collapse with extremely high 19 Q. Yeah. I think we've laid your foundation for forces, but we want to know what happens to pores 20 expertise. Go ahead, Doctor. 21 when applying just 1 pound. 21 A. The mesh will mean increased risk for scar 22 22 bridging, shrinkage, contraction, pain, erosion. Q. Okay. In your review of the internal Ethicon 23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P0777, Ethicon 23 document, Form for Test Method documents in this case, did you determine whether

Page 66 Page 68 1 Ethicon's scientists had considered your and 1 Hamburg, Ethicon. Dr. Mühl's pore testing publications and the effects 2 Q. Did you work with him in the last 20 years? of mesh pore size under strain? A. He was a member of the working group that has 3 A. Yes, I did. been working together with us. 5 5 Q. And if we see the attachment to this e-mail, 6 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P0829, E-mail chain 6 two years later what do you find here, Doctor? MR. THOMAS: Objection; postdates 7 and article entitled "New Objective Measurement to 7 Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates 8 Ms. Bellew's surgery, and there is nothing here 8 stamped ETH.MESH.02184130 through ETH.MESH.02184138, 9 9 to comment on other than the fact it's just a was marked for identification.) 10 10 transmittal letter. 11 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: BY MR. ANDERSON: 12 12 O. Go ahead, Doctor. 13 Q. Let's pull up the two documents so the jury 13 A. In 2010 they again circulated it to the can see what we're talking about. members of their research groups. 14 14 I'm handing you what has been premarked as 15 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit P0829. Have you seen this 16 16 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P1087, PowerPoint 17 document before, Dr. Klinge? 17 presentation entitled "Thunder: Technical Review, 18 A. Yes, I've seen. Somerville 28th February 2008", was marked for Q. Is this something you considered and reviewed 19 identification.) in arriving at your opinions in this case? 20 21 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: 22 MR. ANDERSON: If we could blow up the top 22 Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as 23 part of this and also show the attachment that 23 Plaintiff's Exhibit P1087. goes with this article -- with this internal 24 24 Have you seen this document before, Page 69 Page 67 1 Dr. Klinge? 1 Ethicon e-mail. Yes. 2 BY MR. ANDERSON: A. Yes, I've seen it. 3 Q. Explain what the significance is to you of Q. And is it significant to your opinions in this e-mail in 2008 as well as the attachment. 4 this case today? 5 MR. THOMAS: Objection; calls for Ethicon's 5 A. Yes, it is. 6 state of mind. 6 Q. And what generally is this document? 7 7 THE WITNESS: They are circulating our A. It's a technical review from Ethicon. manuscript that we published in 2005 as a 8 8 Q. And what year is this dated? 9 sophisticated method to measure porosity, so they A. It's made from 2008. 10 have been aware of it. 10 Q. If you would turn, please, over to page 21 of 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1087. Is this slide of the 12 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P1437, October 7, 12 PowerPoint something you've seen before? 2010, e-mail and article entitled "New Objective 13 13 A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. And is this significant to your opinions with Measurement to Characterize the Porosity of Textile 14 Implants," Bates stamped ETH.MESH.04945136 through 15 regard to a pore collapse and pore deformation and 15 ETH.MESH.04945144, was marked for identification.) 16 its relation to patient injury? 16 17 17 A. Yeah. 18 BY MR. ANDERSON: 18 Q. Okay. What do you see here, Doctor, that you 19 Q. Okay. Let me show you another document which 19 would like to point out to the jury? is Plaintiff's Exhibit 1437, which is another e-mail, 20 MR. ANDERSON: Highlight 4. an Ethicon e-mail, this one dated 2010, from a 21 21 A. So in this definition of the requirements to 22 Dr. Joerg Holste to a Dr. Juergen Trzewik. Do you 22 improve, as it is said in the subtitle, "Improving know Dr. Joerg Holste? lives by advancing the standard of care in tissue 24 A. Yes, I know. He is a leading scientist in repair," they identify it as an important point to

Page 70 Page 72 1 improve this -- these results, shrinkage and 1 the force to it. 2 2 stiffening; and they defined a pore size of more than Q. Thank you, Doctor. 3 3 millimeter as being essential, and for the first 4 time they mentioned a pore size of 1 millimeter under (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P2995, PowerPoint stretch. So they acknowledged that you have to look presentation entitled "Mesh Properties - How to the pore size and use additionally to the pore important are they?" was marked for identification.) size in the box or without any forces. 8 MR. THOMAS: Objection; move to strike. Goes BY MR. ANDERSON: 9 beyond what the document says. Q. Going -- I want to show you now Plaintiff's 10 BY MR. ANDERSON: Exhibit P2995. Is this a document that you have 11 reviewed and relied upon in this litigation? Q. I want to go back to a document previously used, which was Plaintiff's Exhibit 1156. We looked 12 12 A. Yes, I did. at this with the jury a little earlier today, and --13 Q. And what is this, Doctor? 14 MR. THOMAS: Can you give me just a second, 14 A. This is a PowerPoint presentation from 15 please? 15 Ethicon. 16 MR. ANDERSON: Sure. 16 Q. And if we would go to slide 25 of this 17 17 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. I have it now. presentation by Ethicon. 18 BY MR. ANDERSON: 18 A. Yes. 19 19 Q. Okay. If you go to page 13 of this 2008 Q. Is that significant to your opinions that Ethicon PowerPoint, have you seen this slide before, you're offering here regarding pore size and pore 21 Doctor? 21 deformation after stretch is applied? 22 22 A. Yes, I've seen it. A. Yes, it is. 23 23 Q. And is that significant to your opinions in Q. Okay. Please explain for the jury. 24 this case? A. Because this figure wants to express in the Page 73 Page 71 A. Yes. 1 title already that large pores become very small 2 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Highlight the left-hand under stress, and it was in contrast to the previous 3 side of this picture. one, which was a drawing. It shows that was an BY MR. ANDERSON: 4 Ethicon experiment showing or trying to demonstrate 5 Q. What are we seeing here, Doctor? what happens to the textile structure to the pores 6 A. So in this image you see the same phenomenon when applying a force to it. 7 as we have seen in -- with the basketball net, that Q. As part of your review of the materials in when applying some forces, the pores collapse and you this case, did you see the Ethicon video of a Prolift 9 will create a small pore mesh. anterior actually being implanted in a woman? 10 Q. We see the word "effective porosity" there. 10 A. Yes, I did. 11 What's that refer to? 11 Q. And is that video significant to your 12 A. Effective porosity, that's exactly the term 12 opinions in this case? 13 that we were able to define with the testing that we 13 A. Yes, it is. do a lot of, Professor Mühl. So they adopted this 14 Q. Okay. I don't want to have to show the jury 15 terminology in -the entire video because they may have already seen 16 Q. Just explain that real simply for the jury, it, but did you ask me to create some screenshots 16 17 what effective pores would be. 17 from that video? 18 A. So effective pores means that is the area of 18 A. Yes. 19 19 the good pores roughly. So the good pores are those Q. Okay. And did we create a slide showing with fat. If you start with half of the pores are 20 these videos --21 filled by fat in the upper line, then it's going to 21 A. Yes. 22 22 zero in the lower if you applied some force to it. Q. -- these screenshots for the jury? 23 So you only have small pores, noneffective, poor 23 A. Sorry. pores, risky pores in the lower part when you apply 24 Q. Sorry. Yes?

Page 74 Page 76 1 A. Yes. A. Obviously the forces in the OR when in use 2 are too high for this specific design of this mesh, 3 leading to this roping, even in this teaching video. (Plaintiff's Demonstrative Exhibit No. P3361, PowerPoint slide entitled "Pores Collapse Under MR. THOMAS: Objection; move to strike, Tension," referencing P2995, ETH.MESH.05237872, PLT 5 beyond the area of expertise and not a disclosed 0697, P1452 - ETH.MESH.000007, was marked for 6 opinion. identification.) 7 MR. ANDERSON: It certainly was disclosed 8 8 opinions, and the videos are in his reliance BY MR. ANDERSON: 9 9 materials. And he talked about initial force, 12 10 Q. Okay. I'll put up this next slide which 10 pounds of force. He talks about 5 pounds of we'll use for demonstrative as P3361. Just one 11 force. He says that when you use the applied second. Go ahead. 12 12 loads that Ethicon used that you get to see pore 13 MR. THOMAS: Before you show that to the 13 deformation. He said that you see it from 14 14 jury, are you representing that this is Ethicon's documents, his documents and the DVD. 15 Ms. Bellew's surgery? 15 BY MR. ANDERSON: MR. ANDERSON: If I did, I would have said 16 16 Q. Okay. So if we can just zoom in on this 17 17 that. Ethicon DVD on the right-hand side produced by 18 MR. THOMAS: That's why I show my objection Ethicon in this litigation, is that significant to 19 to this not being representative of Ms. Bellew's your opinions? Is that what you were discussing with 20 surgery. the jury of how the mesh is curled and roped and 21 21 MR. ANDERSON: It's okay. It's your deformed? 22 22 document. A. Yes. 23 23 BY MR. ANDERSON: MR. THOMAS: Same objection. Q. So showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit P3361, 24 24 THE WITNESS: Exactly. So this is not longer Page 75 Page 77 1 over to the right is that a screen shot from a DVD any large pore mesh design. This rope will be 1 produced by Ethicon of Ethicon surgeons implanting a integrated into dense scar tissue and nothing --3 Prolift anterior into a woman? nothing else, and it will become stiff and rigid. 4 A. Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: 5 Q. Okay. So you asked me to put these three Q. Is that appearance of the arm right there, is images together. Why did you ask me to do that? Why that what it looks like when it comes out of the 7 do you think that's important for the jury to see, Ethicon box? Doctor? 8 8 A. No, definitely not. 9 A. Because it makes very clear that we have to 9 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. You can take that down. 10 deal with a realistic problem. On the left you see 10 Oh, actually, bring that back up. the image from the Ethicon study where they just 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: 12 described it. Q. Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree 13 of medical and scientific certainty as to whether the In the middle you see an image of our testing where we tried to measure it to quantify the mesh arms that the jury is seeing in the right-hand picture would result in unnecessary risk to the 15 consequences to different forces. 15 tissues? 16 And on the right you see exactly the same 16 17 17 deformation, the same roping of the arms during the MR. THOMAS: Objection; beyond his area of 18 OR. So the collapse of pores is a real phenomenon. 18 expertise. 19 19 Q. So whether we can take the Ethicon document THE WITNESS: The appearance of such a mesh that says 5 pounds of force is placed by the surgeon 20 in this roping form means a considerable risk, on the arms during implant or 12 pounds of force 21 and because it is -- there is no need for this, 21 22 during implant, this image to the right, is this the it is an unnecessary risk. 23 resulting characterization of the mesh arm after 23 BY MR. ANDERSON: either 5 or 12 pounds of force are placed on it? 24 Q. And would that unnecessary risk involving the

Page 78 Page 80 1 tissue response, would that risk be the same with BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Is this the PowerPoint that you wanted me to mesh of this appearance whether it was in the abdominal tissue, the pelvic tissue or the tissue 3 prepare, Doctor? under your armpit? A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. You have a point there, "Less MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: A mesh curled like this, Material = Safer," under the heading "Prolift 6 7 Unsafe/Defective Mesh Design." What do you mean by getting these small pores like this one will 8 this first bullet point? result everywhere in the body in a scar mesh 9 compound that is stiff and rigid and not flexible A. It became clear from all our work that less 10 any longer. material would reduce the risk of inflammation and 11 MR. ANDERSON: You can take that down. scarring, so less material will make it safer and 12 there is no need for this amount of material as it is 12 BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 Q. After your review of all the materials in used for the Prolift. 14 14 this case regarding Ethicon's meshes for treating Q. Okay. Let's go to your next point. 15 pelvic organ prolapse, all of your work that you've 15 A. From all our work, it is evident and clear 16 done in the scientific literature, conferences you've and undoubted that large pore -- larger pores will 17 17 make it safer. They will help that the pores are spoken at around the world, the conferences you've 18 spoken as an invited lecture by Ethicon, and your filled by fat tissue, keeping it flexible. So, work as a hernia surgeon both implanting and therefore, larger pores would make it safer, and 20 there is no need for the small pores of the Prolift. 20 explanting polypropylene meshes, your work in 21 21 reviewing thousands of hernia mesh explants from Q. And your third point? 22 22 humans, your review of looking at explants from A. The pores have to resist a collapse when applied, some forces that we have seen that these pelvic floor -- from the pelvic floor in women, do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of medical forces have been applied. And, therefore, a mesh Page 79 Page 81 and scientific certainty as to whether the Prolift 1 design that avoids this collapse under forces would was a safe design or an unsafe defective design? be much safer, and there is no need that you bring in a mesh that shows this roping. There is no need, 3 MR. THOMAS: Objection. 4 A. I have -and, therefore, all these three points are 5 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Just let me base my unnecessary risks. 6 objection to the foundation that he's laid. Go Q. After all of your review of the thousands of 7 ahead. pages of depositions, the internal Ethicon documents, 8 BY MR. ANDERSON: all the things that we've been just covered 9 Q. Do you? throughout your testimony today as well as your work 10 A. I have. with Ethicon as a consultant for ten years and over 11 Q. Okay. And what is that opinion, Dr. Klinge? 20 years of work in the field, do you have an opinion 12 A. The Prolift carries unnecessary risk and, to a reasonable degree of medical and scientific certainty as to whether the Prolift was safely 13 therefore, it's unsafe. 14 Q. Doctor, did we prepare a slide for the jury designed to be permanently implanted in a woman's 15 regarding your opinions of what you consider to be 15 pelvis? the critical design defects in the Prolift? 16 A. Yes. 16 17 17 A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Objection; foundation, 18 18 expertise. 19 (Plaintiff's Demonstrative Exhibit No. P3362, 19 BY MR. ANDERSON: PowerPoint slide entitled "Prolift Unsafe/Defective 20 Q. Now answer the question. 21 Mesh Design", was marked for identification.) 21 A. Yes. 22 22 Q. And what is that opinion, Doctor? 23 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. If we could show that. 23 MR. THOMAS: Same objection. That is Plaintiff's Demonstrative 3362. BY MR. ANDERSON:

Page 82 Page 84 1 Q. Now go. 1 you were a practicing surgeon with a focus on 2 A. Because it carries unnecessary risk. It is abdominal hernia repairs; correct? 3 A. No. Ah. designed unsafe and defective. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. No further 4 Q. What? 5 A. Yeah. So I just understand. I was an questions at this time. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. 6 abdominal surgeon with the focus on hernia surgery. 7 That is okay, yeah. The time is 10:22 a.m. 8 Q. Okay. Fine. Thank you. 8 (A recess was taken from 10:22 a.m. until 10:33 a.m.) 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks beginning of 9 And I'm not trying to trick you. If you 10 Video Number 2. We are back on the record. The don't understand my question, let me know, and I'll 11 time is 10:33 a.m. try to rephrase it. I know that English is not your 12 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** first language, and I'll do the first I can. 13 BY MR. THOMAS: A. And I am not sure every time. 14 Q. Good morning, Dr. Klinge. 14 Q. And I speak West Virginian. I don't speak 15 A. Good morning. 15 German. 16 Q. You're an expert that's been retained by 16 Doctor, you've not performed surgery since Mr. Anderson in this case; is that correct? Expert 2006, have you? 17 18 witness? 18 A. Not in humans. 19 19 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And prior to 2006, you treated hernias? 20 Q. And you're paid for the time that you spend 20 working on this matter? 21 A. Yes. 22 22 A. Yes. Q. And a hernia is essentially an organ pushing 23 Q. And you're paid at the rate of \$500 an hour; through the abdominal wall; correct? 24 is that right? 24 A. Not always. Page 85 Page 83 A. Yes. 1 Q. Why can you not describe a hernia as an organ pushing through the abdominal wall? Q. How much money have you been paid to work on 3 this case? A. Because there are hernias, for example, close 4 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. You mean Bellew? to the esophagus, through the diaphragm, where you 5 MR. THOMAS: Yes. have a hernia, but it is not a defect in the 6 MR. ANDERSON: The Bellew case. 6 abdominal wall. 7 7 THE WITNESS: It's about \$20,000, included Q. Okay. Well, you could repair hernias with the tax. In Germany you have to reduce it almost 8 sutures; is that correct? 9 by half. A. You can try to repair it by sutures, yes. 10 BY MR. THOMAS: 10 Q. And sutures are sometimes called stitches? Q. Okay. And in preparation for your deposition 11 11 A. Yes. 12 today, you met with Mr. Anderson? Q. And sutures and stitches are the Prolene that 13 A. Yes. 13 you showed to the jury on direct examination; 14 Q. And how many days did you meet with 14 correct? 15 Mr. Anderson? 15 A. There are many kind of different sutures, different suture materials, and one of the suture 16 A. Three days. 16 17 Q. Okay. And how many hours did you spend with 17 material is Prolene. 18 Mr. Anderson in preparation for your deposition? 18 Q. And when you repair a hernia with sutures, 19 A. Six hours a day. 19 you basically put the tissue back in place and then Q. So about 18 hours? 20 20 support it with the stitches; correct? 21 A. Maybe. 21 A. The stitches are supported to keep the 22 Q. So about \$9,000; correct? 22 approximation of the tissues together, yeah. 23 23 Q. Okay. And you can also treat hernias with

surgical mesh; correct?

Q. All right. Now, from the mid-1990s to 2006,

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3760-5 Filed 242717 Page 24 of 59 PageID #: 134422 Page 88 Page 86 1 A. Yes. 1 Q. And we don't have a Prolift here, but the 2 Q. And you can repair hernias with polypropylene Prolift itself does not look like Exhibit 3364; mesh; correct? correct? A. Yes. A. Yes. 5 Q. There are about 20 million surgical mesh Q. Now, the hernia meshes that you used to implantations every year; true? repair hernias are often bigger than the meshes used 7 in the pelvic floor to repair a pelvic organ A. That is said by Saunders in his reference. Whether it's true, I didn't count it. prolapse; correct? 8 9 Q. And abdominal hernia repair is the most A. The meshes can be bigger, but the area for frequently performed operation and surgery; correct? 10 10 implantation is completely different. 11 A. That is correct. 11 Q. So are you telling the jury that implanting a 12 12 Q. And the use of mesh to repair hernias is an mesh in the abdominal area is different from 13 important option for patients; true? implanting a mesh in the pelvic floor? 14 14 A. Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 15 Q. Polypropylene is the most widely used mesh 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 for hernia repair; true? 16 MR. ANDERSON: Go ahead. 17 17 BY MR. THOMAS: A. That should be true. 18 Q. And mesh implants made of polypropylene have 18 Q. Stay with me, though, for a minute. But the 19 been used in the human body since 1963; true? amount of mesh that you implant in the abdominal area 20 to repair hernias is often much more than the amount A. That is true. 21 Q. And Ethicon began selling Prolene mesh for of mesh that's used in this anterior Prolift that you 22 hernia repair in 1974? have described in P3364; correct? 23 A. Yeah. 23 A. It was correct before we developed together 24 Q. And the Prolene mesh that's used to repair with Ethicon these large pore meshes, which have a Page 87 Page 89 1 hernias is the same material that is used to make 1 reduced amount of material. We could reduce the Prolene soft in the Prolift; correct? amount of polypropylene to 30 percent of these 3 A. Please, can you -heavyweight small pore meshes, and, therefore, it is 4 4 difficult. It depends from -- from the specific size Q. Prolene mesh used in hernia repair is made of the same polypropylene material that is used to make of the mesh. Then you can do this calculation and 6 Prolene soft for use in the Prolift? look whether it exactly fits or whether it's a little 7 A. I think so, yeah. bit more. 8 Q. Now, you started implanting polypropylene 8 Q. Meshes that you used before 2006 to implant 9 mesh for hernia repair in the early 1990s; true? into humans for the repair of hernias had more 10 A. Yes. polypropylene in them than the polypropylene that you 11 Q. And you performed about 200 hernia repairs 11 showed the jury in 3364; correct? 12 using mesh; correct? 12 A. 2006 you said? 13 13 Q. Before 2006. You implanted meshes --A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, a minute ago you showed the jury 14 A. We --Plaintiff's Exhibit 3364, which is the amount of 15 Q. -- some meshes that had more polypropylene polypropylene that, if taken apart, the Prolift would than is present in 3364. 16 16 17 17 have in it; correct? A. After our development of Vypro of these large 18 A. Yes. 18 pore meshes with Ethicon, we only use these

19

20

21

22

19 Q. Well, just so it's clear, you never implant

polypropylene in that form into a body, do you?

21 A. No.

22 Q. The polypropylene is woven or knitted into a

mesh so it can be implanted; correct?

24 A. Yes. Germany, in the United States, everywhere around the world that has more mesh than is present in 3364,

material-reduced methods; and, therefore, the use of

this huge amount of material was very, very rare.

Q. You know today that mesh is used in the

repair of hernias by a doctor every single day, in

Page 90 Page 92 Q. Turn to page 89 of the deposition, please. 1 don't you? 1 2 2 A. I know that in Germany 90 percent -- more MR. ANDERSON: I think you gave me two than 90 percent of the meshes used for hernia repair 3 copies, Counsel. Yeah. You gave me -are large pore meshes. MR. THOMAS: I think there's two days. 5 Q. And what meshes are those specifically? 5 MR. ANDERSON: Oh, you're going to need both. 6 A. These are Ultrapro. Ultrapro is the leading 6 THE WITNESS: 98? from Ethicon. BY MR. THOMAS: 8 8 Q. Okay. Are you saying Ultrapro is appropriate Q. Page 89, line 16. You there? 9 "QUESTION: And, Doctor, in the early '90s for the pelvic floor? 10 10 the physicians didn't understand all of the A. No. 11 11 biomechanical demands of the abdomen; is that Q. So just so your testimony is clear, it's not 12 your opinion that Ultrapro is an appropriate mesh to treat pelvic organ prolapse in the pelvic floor; 13 "ANSWER: There was limited knowledge about 14 14 true? the biomechanics of the abdomen." 15 15 A. If you stick to the third point we presented Did I read that correctly? 16 16 there, that it has to prevent a pore collapse -- and MR. ANDERSON: Excuse me. I will object. 17 Ultrapro obviously does not prevent a pore collapse 17 That is not the same question you asked. You when applied to forces; therefore, it is not the best 18 asked, "Do you know everything," and here you 19 19 idea to use Ultrapro in this -- for this indication, changed the question from this to what it was in 20 20 yes. the deposition. So if we were at sidebar, I 21 21 Q. It's true that you do not have the opinion would have pointed that out to the judge. 22 22 that Ultrapro is a reasonable alternative design for MR. THOMAS: And I understand your objection. the use of mesh in the treatment of pelvic organ 23 BY MR. THOMAS: 24 prolapse; true? Q. Did I read that correctly? Page 91 Page 93 A. Yeah. 1 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. 1 2 THE WITNESS: As it carries unnecessary risk, Q. Okay. 3 I am sure that it is not a safe alternative. MR. ANDERSON: It's an inappropriate use of 4 BY MR. THOMAS: the deposition, so that's my objection. 5 Q. Okay. Now, when you started implanting mesh MR. THOMAS: And you stated it clearly. 6 for hernia repair, you didn't understand all of the BY MR. THOMAS: 7 biomechanical demands of the abdomen; true? Q. And, Doctor, it's clear that in the '90s 8 A. It is a permanent learning that I have when I physicians didn't understand all of the biomechanical 9 started surgery. I don't know, but I permanently demands of the abdomen; true? learned about it. And we learned a lot about these 10 A. There was limited knowledge about the meshes, and, of course, when we started to make this 11 biomechanics of the abdomen. Still open questions. 12 research, we didn't know everything. Q. Okay. And when you were performing hernia 13 Q. Are you able to answer my question yes or no? surgery, it was important for you that the patient 14 Let me ask it again. understand whether the benefits of that hernia 15 15 surgery outweighed the risks of that hernia surgery; When you started implanting mesh for hernia 16 repair, you didn't understand all of the 16 correct? 17 biomechanical demands of the abdomen; true? A. That is correct. 18 A. What do you think of "all"? 18 Q. And it's your job as a doctor to explain the 19 Q. Doctor, let me hand you your deposition that 19 risks of hernia surgery to the patient so the patient 20 20 can make that informed decision; correct? you gave. 21 I'm sorry. It's the wrong one. 21 A. Yes. 22 2.2 No. I was right. Q. And it's true, Doctor, that any surgery has 23 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Counsel. 23 risks? 24 BY MR. THOMAS: A. Which type of risks do you think?

Page 94

- Q. Well, is it true that every hernia surgery
- 2 has risks?
- 3 A. Has some risks.
- 4 Q. And it's your job to tell a patient about
- 5 those risks so that they can make a decision about
- 6 whether to have the surgery; correct?
- A. I have to tell him not that there are
- 8 possible ways to get some complication, but I have to
- 9 give him the information that he gets a good estimate
- 10 how big the risk is, whether there is an alternative
- 11 with a less risk and what is the benefit.
- 12 O. And --
- A. So is it a necessary risk or is it an
- 14 unnecessary risk? And all this together I have to
- discuss with the patient. It is not so simple to say
- 16 there are some risks.
- Q. And you tell the patient that there is a
- 18 lifelong risk of infection from that mesh implant,
- 19 wouldn't you?
- A. From the moment we know there is a -- that we
- 21 learn that there was this permanent inflammation that
- 22 you have a lifelong risk for infection. You have an
- implant. They received an implant. It's not a
- 24 tissue repair. They received a plastic implant for

- 94 Page 96
 - ² patient, that chronic pain may be a serious
 - ³ complication after operation, yes.
 - Q. And when you say late onset, that means that

A. This topic surely is mentioned with the

- 5 this pain does not manifest itself until after the
- 6 surgery sometime; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you also tell patients that hernia mesh
- 9 is difficult to remove; true?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And you talk to a patient about a risk of
- 12 hernia recurrence?
- 13 A. Yes.
- O. And a hernia recurrence means that the mesh
- repair did not work and the hernia repair comes back;
- correct -- excuse me, the hernia comes back; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. There are different definitions of
 - recurrence, and where the hernia comes back, whether
- 20 it's really a problem that the mesh doesn't work,
- 21 whether it's a new hernia that is for the patient a
- recurrence. So it is not so simple, but we are
- 23 discussing the manifestation of another hernia
- despite using a mesh.

Page 95

- 1 the rest of their lives.
- Q. And anytime you have a plastic implant,
- 3 there's a risk, a lifelong risk of an infection;
- 4 true?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that would be for a hip or for a knee
- 7 just as well as a mesh; correct?
- 8 A. But the numbers are different, and it is
- 9 different to treat it.
- Q. Answer to my -- Doctor, it's fair to say that
- 11 there's a lifelong risk of infection from mesh, just
- 12 like there's a lifelong risk of infection from a hip
- implant or a knee implant? Yes or no.
- MR. ANDERSON: Objection; beyond the scope of
- cross -- beyond the scope of direct.
- 16 THE WITNESS: If you are thinking of the
- principal possibility of a lifelong risk to get
- an infection, yes.
- 19 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- And when you're talking to a patient about
- 22 the risks of hernia repair, you talk to a patient
- 23 about the risk of a late onset of chronic pain;
- 24 correct?

- Page 97 Q. And a patient needs to know that there's a
- risk that the surgery won't work; correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you talk to a patient who is looking at a
- ⁵ potential hernia repair with mesh about the risk of
- 6 mesh shrinkage; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. And any mesh will have a shrinkage or
- 9 contracture rate of at least 20 percent; correct?
- 10 A. At least 20 percent? The difference is the
- 11 extent of this one.
- Q. Any -- any mesh -- it's true that any mesh
- will have a shrinkage or contracture rate of at least
 - 20 percent; correct?
- 15 A. There may be some conditions where it's a
- little bit lower, but, yeah, sure, more or less it
- is. You have to assume a contraction of 20 percent.
- Q. And when you were treating patients for
- 19 hernia repairs, you explained all of these risks to
- 20 the patient so the patient would understand?
- A. But in a completely different way.
- O. Is that true?

23

24

- A. I explained these risks to the patients.
- Q. So when you used polypropylene mesh for

Page 98 Page 100 1 hernia repair, you believed that the benefits of that 1 A. Yes. mesh outweighed any risks to your patient; true? Q. And the top left-hand corner, is that the 3 A. In some specific patients in some specific area of mesh that you were describing in your direct conditions where we are discussing the alternatives, examination? we are discussing the risks of the patient's A. Yes. conditions, the risk of the procedure, the risk of 6 Q. And that mesh has -- is covered with tissue; the biomaterials, all this together will end up in correct? 8 the risk-benefit ratio. And when you have all this A. Yes. information, how often something occurs, then you can Q. And that mesh has been stored in formaldehyde; correct? discuss it with the patient and you can make your decision, yes. 11 A. Yes. 11 12 12 Q. Well, when you recommended mesh to a patient Q. And you have not measured that mesh to 13 for the repair of their hernia, you believed that the determine the extent to which any pores in that mesh mesh that you were going to use, the benefits of that have collapsed, have you? 15 mesh outweighed the risk to the patients; true? 15 A. Not specifically this mesh. 16 A. In those patients where I am using meshes, I 16 Q. Thank you. 17 have been using meshes, the decision of me and the As a matter of fact, you have not performed patient was that in this case the use of a specific 18 any analysis on the mesh specific to Ms. Bellew; 19 mesh in a specific way outweighs the risks. correct? 20 20 Q. Okay. Now, over the last 20 years, there are A. Specifically, yeah. millions of people on earth walking around with mesh 21 Q. Now, you have not ever performed surgery for in their body, and a large percentage of those meshes 22 the repair of pelvic organ prolapse; correct? 23 are either polypropylene or they contain A. That is correct. 24 polypropylene; true? Q. And we talked about the Prolift before. Page 99 Page 101 A. Sure. 1 You've never used a Prolift in any surgery; correct? Q. Yeah. Now, you've never treated the A. That is correct. plaintiff in this case; correct? Q. And do you know the tools that are used to A. That is correct. place Prolift? Q. And you've never examined the plaintiff in A. I've seen it on the video. this case: correct? 6 6 O. There's a trocar? 7 7 A. That is correct. A. Yes. 8 Q. And you have never rendered any medical O. And there's a cannula; correct? 9 diagnosis specific to the plaintiff in this case; A. Yes. 10 correct? 10 Q. You've never placed a mesh with trocars, have 11 A. That is correct. 11 you? 12 Q. And you have never examined the actual mesh 12 A. No. 13 Q. You've never placed a mesh with cannulas, explanted from the plaintiff in this case; correct? Other than the photograph you looked at? 14 have you? 15 15 A. No. A. I think so, yeah. 16 16 Q. Now, I want to look at that photograph that Q. You've never placed a mesh using the same Mr. Anderson showed you. Do you have it in front of tools as Prolift; correct? 18 you? Exhibit P3356. 18 A. That is correct. 19 Do you have that, Dr. Klinge? 19 Q. Now, you were shown Exhibit 3361. Could you 20 A. Yes. get that in front of you, please. 21 Q. Now --21 MR. THOMAS: Could you pull that up, please, 22 2.2 A. I see. 3361, so the jury can see it? 23 Q. In the top left-hand corner -- you understand 23 BY MR. THOMAS: this to be a mesh explant from Mrs. Bellew? 24 Q. And this is the slide that you talked about

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3760-5 Filed 242717 Page 26 of 59 PageID #: 134426 Page 102 Page 104 1 on direct examination, and this is a surgical ¹ able to investigate, we saw this deformation, this procedure involving the Prolift; correct? roping in all of these explants, wherever they were 3 taken. It's not a phenomenon that is outside the A. Yes. 4 Q. And it uses cannulas; correct? body. It's inside the body. 5 Q. You've not seen it yourself, have you, A. Yes. 6 Q. And it uses trocars; correct? 6 Doctor? 7 7 A. Yes. A. In this case, not. 8 8 Q. And you've never used either one of those; O. Okay. 9 correct? A. Only in the video I've seen it. 10 10 A. Yes. Q. And the only video that you've seen of a 11 Prolift repair is the one that you just discussed; Q. And you understand that the mesh is to be 12 12 placed tension-free inside the body; correct? correct? 13 A. What do you mean by is said or --13 A. That is a video made by Ethicon --14 Q. Do you know that -- do you know that a 14 O. Okay. 15 surgeon is to place the Prolift in the body A. -- as a teaching video. 16 16 tension-free? Do you know that? Q. And you don't have any basis to give an 17 A. There are some ideas that it should be done opinion as to what the mesh looks like in the body, 18 tension-free, but obviously it is not possible to 18 do you? 19 19 place it tension-free; therefore, there is no MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 20 20 measurement that it is done tension-free. There THE WITNESS: If you -is -- it's not a fact. 21 BY MR. THOMAS: 22 22 Q. But you have not done one; correct? Q. Is that yes or no? You can tell me yes or no 23 23 A. Yes. and then tell me what it is. 24 24 A. Please then. Q. It's correct that you have not done one? Page 103 Page 105 A. It is correct that I never did it. Q. Do you have any basis to understand what the 1 2 Q. Okay. You've never tried to place mesh mesh looks like in the body after it's implanted? 3 tension-free inside a woman; correct? A. I have a basis, yes. 4 A. I -- the abdominal wall surgery, the use of 4 O. And what is that? meshes, is supposed to be in a tension-free area. We A. This basis is our explants, our -- the 6 know that's -- and I did it in woman. visualization of many operations that have been shown 7 Q. Okay. But not in a pelvic floor? on the conference where you see it that is the 8 A. Not in the pelvic floor. appearance of the arms for Prolift total, Prolift 9 Q. Okay. And when the Prolift is placed, it posterior. When you're looking to all of these 10 goes through cannulas; correct? teaching videos, you always will see that the mesh is 11 A. Yes. not longer laying there in a flat way, but they 12 Q. And that allows for the smooth passage of the showed this roping. You can find it almost every -in every video and every transmission of an mesh through the cannulas; correct? 14 A. It is supposed that you need some forces, 14 operation. 15 according to the internal documents from Ethicon. It 15 Q. On the outside? is supposed that you need some forces to do so. 16 A. No. Before -- sometimes you are able to see 16

18

17 Q. And you don't know what this mesh looks like 18 inside of the person on whom this surgery is being

19 performed, do you?

20 A. In the video you have it -- a view from 21 inside, and it appears -- though the quality is not

22 very good for these slides, but it appears as if 23 these -- this mesh in the video is looking curled

even inside; and from all the explants that we were

19 Q. Now, you're not an expert in pelvic floor 20 surgery with regards to the surgical procedure used

the arms before closing all wounds, or sometimes you

may make some laparoscopy looking from inside.

21 to treat pelvic organ prolapse; true?

22 A. In regard to surgical procedure, it's true.

23 Q. And you're not a specialist for finding the

best indication for a mesh in the pelvic floor; true?

	e ziizindi dzazi Beedine propo aprie Medici fiwe apringe a ageib #: 113427					
	Page 106		Page 108			
1	A. That is true.	1	"QUESTION: You've not studied the pelvic			
2	Q. And you've never consulted with Ethicon on	2	floor forces in a human; correct?			
3	issues of pelvic organ prolapse; true?	3	"ANSWER: That is correct."			
4	A. That is true.	4	Did I read that correctly?			
5	Q. Now, you're not an obstetrician; true?	5	A. Yes.			
6	A. Please, what?	6	Q. Thank you.			
7	Q. You're not an obstetrician?	7	Now, you agree that mesh is an important			
8	A. What is an obste	8	option for patients in pelvic floor repair; correct?			
9	Q. Baby doctor.	9	A. In some patients, yes.			
10	A. No, I'm not a baby doctor.	10	Q. And you agree that polypropylene is an			
11	Q. You're not an obstetrician?	11	appropriate use in pelvic floor if you have the right			
12	A. Okay. Yeah. Yeah.	12	construction of that polypropylene; correct?			
13	Q. You're not a gynecologist?	13	A. If it's a right construction for this			
14	A. I'm not.	14	specific purpose, yeah, it can be.			
15	Q. You're not a urologist?	15	Q. Okay. And 91 percent of all gynecological			
16	A. I'm not.	16	nonabsorbable meshes are polypropylene; correct?			
17	Q. And you're not a urogynecologist; correct?	17	A. It should be, correct. We never I never			
18	A. I'm not.	18	analyzed or counted it.			
19	Q. And you've never completed a fellowship or	19	Q. Now, over the years you talked about your			
20	residency in those fields; correct?	20	work from Ethicon. Ethicon paid you for your work,			
21	A. That is correct.	21	didn't they?			
22	Q. And you have never studied the pelvic	22	A. In the years from 2000 to 2005, yes. In the			
23	forces pelvic floor forces in a human; correct?	23	years before, no.			
24	A. We tried. We tried in some research projects	24	Q. And you received royalties from Ethicon for			
	1 0					
	D 105	-	D 100			
	Page 107		Page 109			
1	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and	1	sales of Vypro; correct?			
2	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces.	2	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're			
2 3	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of		sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until			
2 3 4	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human?	2	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling			
2 3 4 5	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess	2 3 4 5	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro.			
2 3 4 5 6	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a	2 3 4 5 6	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an			
2 3 4 5 6 7	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not	2 3 4 5 6 7	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the scope of direct. Didn't talk about pelvic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you talked about on direct, and it's Plaintiff's Exhibit			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the scope of direct. Didn't talk about pelvic forces.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you talked about on direct, and it's Plaintiff's Exhibit 0697. Do you have that?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the scope of direct. Didn't talk about pelvic forces. What's your page?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you talked about on direct, and it's Plaintiff's Exhibit 0697. Do you have that? A. Not yet.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the scope of direct. Didn't talk about pelvic forces. What's your page? MR. THOMAS: 3497.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you talked about on direct, and it's Plaintiff's Exhibit 0697. Do you have that? A. Not yet. Q. 0697 is your 2013 study.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the scope of direct. Didn't talk about pelvic forces. What's your page? MR. THOMAS: 3497. BY MR. THOMAS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you talked about on direct, and it's Plaintiff's Exhibit 0697. Do you have that? A. Not yet. Q. 0697 is your 2013 study. Do you have that now?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the scope of direct. Didn't talk about pelvic forces. What's your page? MR. THOMAS: 3497. BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you have it, Doctor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you talked about on direct, and it's Plaintiff's Exhibit 0697. Do you have that? A. Not yet. Q. 0697 is your 2013 study. Do you have that now? A. Yes.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to define the or to learn about the anatomy and the forces. Q. But you did you ever complete the study of pelvic forces in the human? A. We completed some of the studies, and I guess some of this already has been published in a peer-reviewed literature, but of course we are not finished with all our studies in this field. Q. Let me show you another transcript of testimony, please, and direct your attention to page 3497. A. 47? Q. 3497, line 15. A. 3497. MR. ANDERSON: And I'll just place an objection on the record. This is outside the scope of direct. Didn't talk about pelvic forces. What's your page? MR. THOMAS: 3497. BY MR. THOMAS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sales of Vypro; correct? A. In these this is the payment you're talking of. This is in the years from 2000 until 2005. I got something like royalties for the selling of Vypro and Ultrapro. Q. And so every time Ethicon sold a Vypro and an Ultrapro, you earned money; correct? A. Yes. Q. You earned about 20,000€ a year from royalties from Ethicon from 2000 to 2005; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Now, you received no royalties for the sales of Prolene mesh; correct? Prolene Soft Mesh. A. Yes. Q. I want to go back to another exhibit that you talked about on direct, and it's Plaintiff's Exhibit 0697. Do you have that? A. Not yet. Q. 0697 is your 2013 study. Do you have that now?			

Page 110 Page 112 1 A. Yes. 1 Gynemesh PS from polypropylene to a DynaMesh made of 2 PVDF in this specific -- two specific meshes. Q. Now, in this study in 2013, you compare 3 Prolift and Prolift+M with DynaMesh; correct? Q. And just so the jury understands, DynaMesh is A. That is correct. manufactured by FEG; correct? 5 Q. And you and Mr. Mühl tested this -- these A. Yes. Q. And you, at the time of this study, were a meshes on the same machine that you conducted your test back in 2007; correct? paid consultant for FEG; correct? 8 8 A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Now, in the first paragraph of this study --9 Q. And the machine that you developed in 2007 was fabricated in part by a company known as FEG; 10 excuse me, in the abstract in this study, you note on correct? 11 the right side --11 12 12 A. Please, can you -- can you please repeat it. MR. THOMAS: Can you bring that up, please? 13 MR. THOMAS: Can you read that back, please. 13 It's 0697. 14 THE COURT REPORTER: "And the machine that 14 MR. KAUFFMANN: Which page? 15 you developed in 2007 was fabricated in part by a 15 MR. THOMAS: Front page. Excuse me. Let's 16 company known as FEG; correct?" 16 go to page -- the third page of that article. 17 THE WITNESS: I don't think they fabricated 17 Under Prolift+M system Gynemesh and Ultrapro, 18 any part of this machine. 18 first sentence. 19 BY MR. THOMAS: 19 BY MR. THOMAS: 20 20 Q. And what do you base that on? Q. "Without any strain, the effective porosity was 57.5 percent, and the majority of pores had a 21 A. Because the FEG makes meshes and doesn't make diameter of larger than 100 microns" -- "1,000 22 any computer hardware, photograph, image maker, so nothing -- no component of this machine can be done 23 microns." 24 by the FEG. Correct? Page 113 Page 111 A. Prolift+M, yeah. Yeah. You read it 1 Q. Okay. correctly. A. So I think they -- Professor Mühl bought it 3 from -- or has made it from their own engineers from Q. Okay. And back on the first page in the abstract on the right side, you identify the fact the university. Q. Okay. Have you read the depositions of that Prolift in tension-free can be considered a 6 Professor Mühl? large pore Class I mesh; correct? 7 A. Yes. It's one of bigger -- it has one of the A. I guess I have, yeah. Q. Okay. Did you recall any testimony he gave biggest pores of all mesh available. 9 in that regard? Q. And down at the bottom you say that both 10 A. No. 10 meshes -- this is on the right side of the first 11 Q. All right. When you did your testing back in 11 page. 2005 to 2007 and you developed this machine, did you 12 "Both meshes can be classified as large pore Class I mesh with an effective porosity that is do it in conjunction with the FEG? 14 A. Yes. It was a -- it was a funded project by sufficient to prevent bridging of scar tissue 15 the ministry together with the FEG and Professor 15 throughout the entire pore." 16 Correct? Mühl, yeah. 16 17 Q. And the FEG manufactures meshes? 17 A. I didn't -- didn't -- couldn't follow where 18 A. Yes. 18 you have been reading. 19 Q. And the FEG makes PVDF meshes; correct? 19 Q. I'm down --20 20 MR. ANDERSON: You changed. He was down in 21 Q. And PVDF meshes are the meshes that you 21 the abstract. You're moving down. 22 compare -- used to compare the other meshes in the 22 BY MR. THOMAS:

23

A. We made a comparison between this specific

23

24

study; correct?

Q. Down on the right side, about two-thirds of

the way on the right. "The Gynemesh PS is identical

Page	1	1	Δ
1 agc	_1	1	н

- 1 to the Prolene Soft Mesh for hernia repair, and the
- 2 textile structure of the Prolift+M system is copied
- ³ from the Ultrapro hernia mesh."
- 4 A. Is identical, yes.
- 5 Q. "Both meshes can be classified as large pore
- 6 Class I mesh with an effective porosity that is
- ⁷ sufficient to prevent bridging of scar tissue
- 8 throughout the entire pore."
- 9 That's true?
- A. You read -- no, that's not true, but you read
- 11 it correctly. It's not true if you applied some
- 12 forces to it.
- Q. Okay. Well, this --
- A. This is just restricted to the situation
- where you don't apply any forces.
- Q. Okay. And it's only if you apply forces to
- it that, as far as you're concerned, it loses the
- ¹⁸ adequate pore size to prevent against this bridging;
- 19 correct?
- A. Then, yes, it's correct that this mesh then
- 21 change to a small pore, dangerous high risk device.
- Q. All right. Now, the testing that you have in
- 23 Exhibit 0697, you were retained as a plaintiff's
- 24 expert at this time; correct?

- Page 116
- Q. Where is your basketball net?
- 2 A. We don't have ball.
- O. Don't need a ball.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 MR. ANDERSON: Would you like to use my net,
- 6 Dave?

1

- MR. THOMAS: I would, please.
- 8 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 9 Q. I don't remember what number we attached to
- this net, but I want to ask you some questions about
- 11 the net.
- You used the net to describe to the jury
- 3 forces that are present in the pelvic floor; correct?
- A. No. I want to demonstrate what happens to a
- 15 pore if you applied some forces.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- A. And you see the pore collapse. That is a
- 18 fact.

22

11

- Q. Now, when you tested the mesh like you did in
- Exhibit 0697, you attached one end of the mesh to one
- 21 point and then pulled it in one direction; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you could show that to the jury. Hold
- one end and pull.

Page 115

- 1 A. I did not understand this.
 - Q. You were a plaintiff's -- you were an expert
- ³ for Mr. Anderson at the time you did the work on this
- 4 paper; correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you were paid for your time in connection
- 7 with this work; correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you didn't disclose that in the study
- 10 that you were an expert for plaintiffs in litigation
- against Ethicon at the time that you did this study;
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's also correct that you didn't
- disclose in this study that you were a consultant for
- 16 the FEG; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's also correct that you show in this
- 19 article that the -- or purport to show that the
- 20 DynaMesh retains a better effective porosity than the
- 21 Ethicon mesh under strain; correct?
- A. We showed that there is an option to do it
- 23 without collapse of the pores, and this is done by
- the DynaMesh. That was shown in the article.

- A. (Complying.)
- Q. And that's how -- that's how you tested it in
- your study; correct?
- 4 A. Yeah. Similar to the way Ethicon did it.
- 5 MR. THOMAS: Move to strike after "yes."
- 6 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 7 Q. And you applied weights of 100 grams to 1,000
- 8 grams to the other end of the mesh in order to get
- 9 your results; correct?
- A. If you're talking of this study, yes.
 - Q. Now, in the body, mesh undergoes forces from
- 12 multiple directions, doesn't it?
- A. It depends whether it functions as a
 - 4 replacement of ligaments or whether it is a function
- as a flat area, but, of course, you always have
- forces from all sides wherever you are in the world.
- But if you are thinking of ligaments, the relevant
- forces are -- should be -- or should -- it should be
- 19 possible to estimate them if you're thinking of
- ²⁰ uniaxial, from one direction.
- Q. And when you place the mesh in the body --
- 22 spread out the net --
- A. (Complying.)
- Q. -- tissue goes into the pores; correct?

Page 117

Page 118 Page 120 1 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And it's applied tension-free so that the Q. And it's not only the one directional force tissue in the pores is what actually holds the mesh that you used in your test model; correct? into place; correct? A. The one direction force is the best 5 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. approximation for the ligaments, for the arms. 6 Go ahead. 6 Q. But my --7 THE WITNESS: Yes. A. Not for the others. I agree. Not for the 8 flat mesh area. Then it is not the best way. BY MR. THOMAS: 9 Q. And you've not done any testing of mesh with Q. And it's possible to design a test that 10 tissue in it, have you? Excuse me. Strike that. allows for mesh being pulled in multiple directions 11 11 and also to account for weight on the top of the You have not done any effective porosity 12 12 testing of mesh with tissue in it, have you? mesh? 13 A. We didn't do -- it is impossible to do it 13 A. As I said, no. Not sufficiently. You can with this machine, to have a testing of the effective design such a test where you put forces from various 15 porosity, a measurement of the effective porosity directions, but the interpretation of these results 16 with tissue inside. is very difficult; and overall as a setup to reflect 17 17 Q. Okay. And you know that it's possible to the situation in the body, it is insufficient. design a test that tests the forces on the mesh from 18 Q. As a matter of fact, the test that you did in 19 multiple directions, don't you? both 2007 and 2013 is insufficient to determine the 20 A. I know that there are experimental settings extent to which this test can be applied to the doing this, but it is a -- it is impossible to model 21 development of better meshes; correct? 22 22 the situation in a pelvis in -- with any of these A. No. 23 settings completely. It's -- every time --Q. Let me show you -- go back to your study, everything is just an arbitrary trial that you can Plaintiff's 0697. Page 119 Page 121 1 try to model it, but it's not -- never perfect. In 2013, the last sentence of the abstract, Q. But you agree it's possible to construct a 2 it says, "The clinical studies have to prove whether 3 test that measured forces applied in different devices with high porosity as well as high structural stability can improve the patient's outcome." directions of the mesh; correct? 5 A. You can make a setting where you apply some 5 Did I read that correctly? forces from two directions, but it never reflects a 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. And in 2007 -situation of a mesh that is incorporated into tissue. MR. THOMAS: Can I have a sticker, please. 8 Never. 8 9 Q. So when --9 I'm going to mark this as Klinge Trial A. There's no way. 10 10 Deposition Exhibit Number 1. 11 11 Q. When you -- you can design a -- strike that. 12 When mesh is implanted in the human body, the 12 (Klinge Trial Deposition Exhibit No. 1, Article entitled "New Objective Measurement to stresses that are applied to that mesh are both Characterize the Porosity of Textile Implants," Bates lengthwise, top to bottom, diagonally; correct? 15 stamped DX31026.1 through DX31026.8, was marked for A. It depends from the location and of the 16 function and of the size of the mesh whether the identification.) 16 17 model has to include these differences or whether BY MR. THOMAS: 18 it's possible to just think of one direction, and all 18 19 mechanical testing setups are insufficient or 19 Q. Let me show you what I have marked as Klinge Trial Deposition Exhibit Number 1. computer simulations until now are insufficient to 20 21 model this. 21 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. 22 22 Q. Well, it's true that there are a variety of Q. Klinge Trial Deposition Exhibit Number 1 is 23 forces that are applied to a mesh in placement in the the first study that you and Professor Mühl conducted back in 2007; correct? pelvic floor; correct?

Page 122 Page 124

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And in this study, you and Professor Mühl did
- 3 uniaxial testing on DynaMesh and other meshes
- 4 manufactured in Europe; correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you found in 2007 that the DynaMesh
- 7 retained its effective porosity and the other meshes
- 8 did not; correct?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 O. Not true?
- 11 A. No, that's not true.
- Q. Did DynaMesh retain its effective porosity?
- A. Yes, but Sofradim light as well.
- Q. Okay. And at the end of the study in 2007,
- you say that, in the abstract, "Further in vivo
- studies have to investigate whether the preservation
- of a high effective porosity under stress may help to
- 18 improve biocompatibility of textile implants."
- 19 Is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And "in vivo studies" means studies in
- 22 animals?
- A. Amongst all, but more or less the most
- important way to learn what happens to the meshes is

- 1 A. The problem that they are not there are
- 2 because the old meshes, the small pore meshes, as
- ³ Prolift, they all belong to the category of these
- 4 small pore thing.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. How we don't have sufficient explants from
- 7 large pore materials with a high structural stability
- 8 in the moment, but we are still collecting these
- 9 materials.
- Q. Can you answer my question yes or no? Let me ask it again.
- In 2013, Doctor, you state in this study,
 - 3 "Clinical studies have to prove whether devices with
- high porosity, as well as high structural stability,
- 15 can improve the patients' outcome."
- There's still no clinical studies that prove
- whether devices with high porosity as well as high
- 18 structural stability can improve the patients'
- 9 outcome; true?
- A. There are no comparative clinical studies at
- 21 all.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- Now, Doctor, in this study in both 2007 and
 - in 2013, you identified 1,000 microns or 1 millimeter

Page 123

- 1 the analyses of explanted materials from humans.
- Q. Okay. The study says further in vivo
- 3 studies. That means studies of mesh in animals;
- 4 correct? Isn't that what that means?
- 5 A. I guess at that time we thought that -- this
- 6 was a setting in a lab without any tissue, without
- ⁷ any biology, and we said this testing from the lab
- 8 has to be transferred to the biology, and this --
- ⁹ therefore, we said we need this in vivo studies, and
- 10 there's no specification to animal or reduction to
- 11 animals.
- Q. There have been no in vivo studies to
- 13 investigate whether the preservation of a high
- effective porosity under stress may help to improve
- biocompatibility of textile implants, has there?
- A. Not before, because this was the presentation
- of this -- this conception.
- Q. And in 2013 in Exhibit 0697, you repeat, the
- 19 clinical studies have to prove whether devices with
- 20 high porosity as well as high structural stability
- 21 could improve the patient's outcome. There's still
- 22 no clinical studies that prove wherefore devices with
- 23 high porosity as well as high structural stability
- can improve the patient's outcome, are there?

- ¹ as the standard of effective porosity in
- 2 polypropylene; correct?
- A. Yes, that is what we used.
- 4 Q. But you used 600 microns for PVDF; correct?
 - A. Yes.

5

11

- 6 Q. Now, it's true in the context of effective
- ⁷ porosity, if a pore is reduced by even 10 microns, to
- 8 990 microns, it does not get counted in a porosity
- ⁹ calculation; correct?
- 10 A. That is correct.
 - Q. And so it's only pores with 1,000 microns in
- all directions that are included in the effective
- porosity calculation; correct?
- 14 A. That depends on the polymer. If you have
- another polymer where you know that it behaves a
- little bit better, then it is very easy to change the
- machine. It is objective, reproducible, reliable.
- So if you, as a manufacturer, have a polymer where
- 19 you know that it is -- critical diameter would be
- only 900 microns, yeah, then you can make these
- 21 measurements with your critical diameter.
- Q. Okay. And the machine --
- A. Our data, we, up to now -- we just had the
 - data of 1,000 for polypropylene and 600 for PVDF,

Page 125

Page 126 Page 128 1 but -- yeah. 1 A. He used another classification. 2 Q. But you didn't -- those are the only two Q. Okay. That's my point. He describes the synthetic implant materials for Prolene and Marlex as measurements you took, at 1,000 for polypropylene and 600 for PVDF; correct? totally microporous; correct? 5 A. Measurements, there have been a lot, but 5 A. That is correct. these are the two, yeah. If you applied this Q. And he disagrees with your classification? A. No. No. There is no -- not any data showing measurement to this machine for polypropylene, you can put in only one range. that the bridging doesn't occur. There is not any 8 Q. Okay. 9 data that 1 millimeter is not a critical limit. The 10 A. One limit. only thing that happens is he used the classification Q. You know, other scientists disagree with your of Amid, who was produced when we start -- before we 11 12 1,000 figure for polypropylene, don't they? started our joint collaboration with Ethicon, and at 13 A. I'm not aware of anyone who said that the that time there hasn't been any large pore mesh. So pore size and the bridging does not happen, no. Amid was not able to consider these large pore meshes in his classification. So when using this old 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. And I don't know any measurements showing classification, of course, you will have a mix of 17 17 these terms. that it is different. 18 MR. ANDERSON: Keep this separate. 18 Q. Okay. 19 19 A. But it doesn't -- is relevant in any way to 20 the fact that small pores have an increased risk, (Klinge Trial Exhibit No. 2, Article entitled "Synthetic and biodegradable prostheses in pelvic makes it unsafe and are filled by scar tissue. 22 floor surgery," Bates stamped DX3036.1 through Q. Go to the bottom. 23 23 DX3036.11, was marked for identification.) A. So no. 24 24 Q. I'm sorry. Go to the bottom of page 4. Page 129 Page 127 A. Yeah. BY MR. THOMAS: 1 Q. Let me show you what's been marked as Klinge Q. And Dr. Deprest says that, "Pore sizes 3 Trial Exhibit Number 2. It's an article by Deprest greater than 75 microns allow for rapid ingrowth of and others. fibroblasts and vascular elements necessary to anchor 5 A. Yes. the implant within the native tissue." Q. Are you familiar with this article? 6 6 That's what he says, isn't it? 7 7 A. I think a long time ago I read it. A. Yes. 8 Q. And this is an article in 2005? 8 Q. Okay. Is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 9 A. The fact is --10 Q. About the same time that you came out with 10 O. Is it correct? your article about 1,000 millimeters; correct? 11 MR. ANDERSON: Is what correct? 12 A. Obviously. 12 BY MR. THOMAS: 13 Q. And do you know Dr. Deprest? 13 Q. Is that statement correct? Do you agree with 14 A. Yes, very well. 14 that? 15 Q. And he's writing about synthetic and 15 A. The statement is only correct if you assume biodegradable prostheses in pelvic floor surgery; that you need a pore size of 75 microns to allow scar 16 16 17 17 to get integrated. Our point is that we want to 18 A. Obviously, yeah. separate the integration of fat and scar, and, 19 Q. If you turn to page 4 of Klinge Trial therefore, you need a completely different size of Deposition Exhibit 2, there's a description of Type I the holes. If you are agreed that you want to have 21 meshes. Do you see that? 21 scar in your implant, then, of course, yeah, this is 22 A. Yes. 22 correct. 23 Q. And it includes in Type I meshes, described 23 Q. So you -them as totally microporous; correct? 24 A. But it's a completely mix-up of the -- and

Page 130 Page 132 1 there is no fact to it. It is a citation of Amid's 1 A. The next sentence. 2 2 classification and nothing more. Q. Yes. Larger --3 Q. Okay. You could have changed the settings on A. "Larger pores limit the fibrosis process to your effective porosity testing to measure for 75 perifilament region and the pores get filled with microns; correct? 5 fat." 6 A. Everyone can do it. Yeah. 6 Q. Right. But you didn't do any testing on your 7 Q. But you didn't do that? effective porosity machine at 400 to 500 microns, did 8 8 A. No. you? 9 9 Q. Let me show you now what's been marked as --A. We didn't make a calculation exactly with 10 and, by the way, he was joined in that study by eight 10 this one, no. other people on the study; correct? On the first 11 Q. Okay. And do you agree with Dr. Deprest that page you can count the people who were involved in 12 in the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse 13 the study. repair that peak ingrowth is reached at pore size 14 A. Yeah. around 4- to 500 microns? Do you agree with that? 15 15 A. If you are -- this is while you are coming 16 (Klinge Trial Deposition Exhibit No. 3, from tissue engineering, and it is not the value that Article entitled "The biology behind fascial defects helps us to define whether you have fat and scar 17 18 and the use of implants in pelvic organ prolapse tissue. Therefore, it is no argument against the repair," Bates stamped DX30360.1 through DX30360.10, relevance of effective porosity for the clinical was marked for identification.) 20 20 outcome. 21 21 Q. Okay. But you didn't measure at 4- or 500 22 BY MR. THOMAS: microns, which is the peak level identified by Q. Let me show you now what I have had marked as Dr. Deprest, did you? 23 Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 3. And this is a --24 A. In what -- in what sense should --Page 131 Page 133 another paper a year later by Dr. Deprest; correct? 1 Q. Yeah. You didn't conduct those tests on --2 A. Yes. A. If --3 Q. It's in 2006. This is a year after your Q. -- either DynaMesh or on polypropylene in the tests you did with Professor Mühl; correct? paper; correct? 5 A. Yes. A. If we place a mesh into this machine and 6 Q. And if you'll go to page 3 of this trial defined the critical size of, let's say, 200 microns, yeah, there will never be an effective pore -- pore Exhibit 3, once again, he cites to the Amid classification; correct? Down on the right, lower that will be filled by fat tissue. 9 right-hand corner? Q. My --10 A. Yes. 10 A. We know this, yeah. 11 Q. And you see the classification of implants 11 Q. My point is, Doctor, you didn't test at the where it talks about macroporous -- that's with an areas identified by Dr. Deprest as being the peak ingrowth for tissue as cited in the exhibit I just 13 a -- that's greater than 75 microns. Do you see 14 that? gave you. You've not done that, have you? True? 15 15 A. But in the --A. Yeah. 16 16 Q. And it says in the lower right-hand side Q. Is it true? that, "Pore sizes greater than 75 microns allow for 17 A. We never modified this testing with these 18 rapid ingrowth of fiberglass and vascular elements 18 data, yes, that is true. 19 necessary to anchor the implant within the native 19 Q. Okay. tissue. Peak ingrowth is reached at pore size around 20 A. But it doesn't make any sense. 400 to 500 microns." 21 21 22 Did I read that correctly? 22 (Defendant's Exhibit No. DX30064, Article 23 A. Yeah. entitled "Classification of biomaterials and their Q. You didn't -related complications in abdominal wall hernia

Page 134 Page 136 1 surgery," Bates stamped DX30064.1 through DX30064.7, 1 Q. It's fair to understand, Doctor, that the two 2 was marked for identification.) studies that you did with Professor Mühl that we've 3 had marked one as Plaintiff's 0697 and the other as BY MR. THOMAS: Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 1, are not, standing 5 Q. All right. And you know that there are some alone, able to replicate what happens in the human people -- let me show you what's been marked as body; correct? 7 Defendant's Exhibit 30064, and 30064 is the Amid A. They are able to replicate some aspects. paper from 1997. You recognize that? Q. Okay. But the whole point of these studies 9 MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Counsel. Is this is to give people a starting point so that you can 10 going to be a defense exhibit? Because the other use this information to develop a better model to 11 ones you used a defense exhibit for Klinge. Do understand what happens in the human body; correct? 11 12 12 you want it to be a Klinge exhibit? Because A. It helps to predict the risk for rigid 13 you -fibrosis without any forces and what happens to your 14 MR. THOMAS: I know that. And just for your textile, to your device when you applied some forces. 15 benefit and my admission, I didn't realize when I Therefore, it gives a measure so that you can 16 marked them that they had numbers on them. All optimize the design of the meshes, yeah. 17 17 I'm trying to do is not create new numbers if I Q. Uniaxial forces only; correct? 18 18 A. Uniaxial forces, yeah. And you can modify 19 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. the forces. You can modify the diameter depending on 20 MR. THOMAS: And we'll change -- we'll change the polymer you are using. So a very standardized 21 the numbers at a later time if I have to. 21 technique, open for everyone. 22 22 MR. ANDERSON: So that it correlates with Q. And so when you go back to Plaintiff's 0697 your exhibit numbers. Okay. That's fine. 23 23 and you go to page 5 --24 24 MR. THOMAS: I'm just trying to identify them MR. ANDERSON: Hold on. Let's get 06 --Page 135 Page 137 that's not it. 1 as best I can. 1 2 2 MR. THOMAS: It's the 2013 study. MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. 3 3 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. But I moved stuff. BY MR. THOMAS: 4 4 Q. Now, Doctor, I've shown you Defendant's Here. Exhibit 30064, and this is the Amid paper that we 5 THE WITNESS: Five. BY MR. THOMAS: 6 have been talking about, isn't it? 7 Q. Are you there? The porosity of the A. Yes. Gynemesh PS and the Gynemesh -- and the DynaMesh, I'm 8 Q. And this is what has been known as the Amid sorry, are measured, on the very top of that article. 9 classifications since 1997; correct? 10 A. Yes. 10 Do you see that? 11 Q. And you know that there's some people that 11 A. Where are you? 12 12 Q. Is that textile porosity where it says still follow the Amid classification; correct? 13 13 porosity at percentage? A. I personally will follow it when -- when 14 looking at the risk for infection and material 14 A. I guess it's the textile porosity. 15 infection, I -- it's still proper to follow this 15 Q. Okay. Do you know what it is? A. The textile porosity is the area that is not classification. If you want to separate the bridging 16 16 fibrosis, the scarring of the pores, it is not covered by the ligaments. 17 17 18 appropriate. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Q. But that's you. You know that there are some 19 A. So maybe this is the easiest definition of this one. scientists who still follow the Amid class at this 20 21 indication? 21 Q. Do you know under Gynemesh where it's 62.9, 22 A. Depends on the purpose why. 22 do you know what that represents? 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. 23 A. Where you are? 24 A. What do you want to have with the -- yeah. 24 Q. Under porosity at the top in the figure, in

Page 138 Page 140 1 Figure 3 on the far left. Doctor -- Doctor, if you 1 Q. Okay. look at page 5 --A. There's no way. 3 3 A. Yeah. O. So the answer is there are no studies? Q. -- of Exhibit 0697, I'm looking at A. There are no studies proving the safety or Gynemesh PS with no force, zero newtons per the superiority of any of these devices. centimeter, under arm 1, and there's a figure 62.9. Q. Now, Doctor, when we talked about in vivo 7 studies, we're talking about studies conducted in A. Yeah. 8 animals; correct? Q. What does that mean? 9 A. That means when you made an image, that 67 --A. It's one part, yeah. 62.9 percent of this area is not covered by Q. And you used rats in studies to help 10 10 filaments. determine types of inflammatory reactions which occur 11 11 12 with implanted meshes, haven't you? Q. Okay. And that's arm 1; correct? 12 13 A. That's arm 1. A. In this collaboration that we started 14 Q. And then arm 3 is 60.1; correct? together with Ethicon, we used rats, rabbits and 15 A. Yeah. other animals. So depending on the specific 16 Q. And if you compare that with DynaMesh arm 1, question, we need different models. 16 that is equal to or greater than the value for 17 Q. And the reason why you did that was so that 18 DynaMesh; correct? you could place mesh in animals and study the tissue 19 A. Yes. response to that mesh; correct? 20 20 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, you agree that biocompatibility of long-term implantable devices can be defined as 21 Q. And you also used rats in studies to the ability of the device to perform its intended determine the extent to which mesh integrates into function with the desired degree of incorporation in 23 the tissues of the rat? the host without eliciting any undesirable, local or 24 A. As I told you, we use rats, rabbits, but we Page 139 Page 141 systematic effects in the host? 1 confirmed all these results by looking at the human 2 A. Yes. explants. 3 Q. And you know of no studies -- strike that. Q. And the reason why you wanted to -- strike 4 You agree that Prolene Soft Mesh has a better that. biocompatibility than the Prolene mesh used in hernia 5 You used rats in studies to determine the 6 repair? extent to which the mesh implanted into the rat 7 integrates into the tissues; correct? A. It depends on the specific design and -- of the different -- it depends from the location and --8 A. I didn't get the entire question. 9 Q. Do you have an opinion --9 Q. Let me ask it again. 10 10 A. -- to place it. In your experience, you use rats in studies 11 Q. Do you have an opinion about whether Prolene to determine the extent to which the mesh implanted 12 Soft Mesh has a better biocompatibility than Prolene? in the rats integrates into the tissues of the rats; 13 A. I cannot answer it. I have an opinion, but 13 correct? 14 it cannot be answered just by yes or no. A. Yes. 15 15 Q. Okay. And you know that Ethicon has Q. Okay. 16 MR. ANDERSON: I have the same objection. conducted tissue reaction and tissue integration 17 Outside the scope of direct. studies where Ethicon implanted mesh in animals, 18 BY MR. THOMAS: 18 don't you? 19 Q. And you know of no studies in a randomized 19 A. Yes, I know. controlled trial which compare the biocompatibility 20 Q. And you have reviewed some of those studies; 21 of Prolene Soft Mesh with another mesh; correct? 21 correct? 22 22 A. There is no way to make a clinical trial A. Yes. 23 where this question with sufficient statistical 24 24 power. (Klinge Trial Exhibit No. 4, Ethicon Final

- 1 Report, PSE Accession No. 00-0035, An Exploratory
- ² 91-day Tissue Reaction Study of Polypropylene-based
- 3 Surgical Mesh in Rates (PSE ACC. NO. 00-0035), was
- 4 marked for identification.)
- 5 --
- 6 BY MR. THOMAS:7 Q. I'm going to show you what I have marked as
- 8 Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 4.
- 9 Dr. Klinge, Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 4 is
- a document titled -- document dated July 11, 2001.
- 11 It's a final report, and it's titled, "An Exploratory
- 12 91-Day Tissue Reaction Study of Polypropylene-Based
- 13 Surgical Mesh in Rats."
- You've seen that before, haven't you?
- 15 A. I think I saw it, yeah.
- Q. And you reviewed that in connection with your
- work in this litigation, didn't you?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And you did not see this study before this
- 20 litigation; correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And Ethicon conducted this study in the
- 23 period 2000 to 2001; correct?
- A. It should be correct.

- pratory 1 into the mesh; correct?
 - 2 A. You have to define it very carefully what --

Page 144

Page 145

- 3 what type of tissue, what are -- which cells you are
- 4 looking at, what happens in the pores, which is your
- 5 area of interest. So research is not so simple just
- 6 to say we are making it and looking to this, no.
 - Q. Okay.
- 8 A. It is --
- 9 Q. You understood that this study placed mesh
- 10 under the skin of rats --
- 11 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- in order to look at the tissue reaction to
 - that mesh as well as to analyze the extent to which
- the tissue integrated into the mesh. You understand
- that was a goal of this study?
 - A. Yeah. We did it several time ourselves
- ¹⁷ but --

16

- 18 Q. Same type --
- A. -- we know the limitations of this very, very
- 20 well.
- Q. I understand. But you've done this same type
- ²² of study yourself with different animals and
- 23 different meshes?
- A. And for some other purposes with this

Page 143

- Q. And let's go to page 2 of 27 of this study.
- ² A. Two of 27.
- Q. See under "Summary"? Right there under
- 4 "Summary."
- The first line, "A subcutaneous implantation
- 6 study was conducted to assess the tissue reaction
- ⁷ profile and qualitative integration of several
- 8 different constructions of polypropylene surgical
- 9 meshes."
- Tell the jury what a subcutaneous
- 11 implantation study is.
- 12 A. A subcutaneous implantation study, then you
- usually place small pieces of a mesh in the
- 14 subcutaneous area beneath -- underneath the skin on
- top of the muscles, so it's laying in fat. It can be
- done very easily. And, yeah, and then you are able,
- then, after some time that you can explant the
- material and look to the tissue reaction to this.
- Q. Okay. And you can look to both the tissue
- 20 reaction, which is the -- how the body reacts to the
- 21 mesh; correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And the qualitative integration of the mesh.
- That means the extent to which the tissue integrates

- specific hypothesis, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And if you turn the page, please, it
- 3 talks about materials. Do you see that?
- 4 A. There we are.
- ⁵ Q. We're on page 3 of 27 --
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. -- of Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 4.
 - A. Uh-huh. Bard, Surgipro, Prolene and Prolene
- 9 Soft, Vypro.
- Q. And there are listed there seven different
- 11 meshes that are tested; correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And the Bard mesh that's tested there is a
 - 4 competitor's mesh, isn't it? Bard mesh is not made
- 15 by Ethicon?
- 16 A. No, no, no.
 - Q. Excuse me. Bard mesh is not made by Ethicon;
- 18 correct?

17

20

2324

- 19 A. That is correct.
 - Q. Thank you.
- And that's what you referred to as a small
- 22 pore heavyweight mesh; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Surgipro mesh, likewise, is a mesh

Page 146 Page 148 1 manufactured by a competitor; correct? Soft Mesh: correct? 2 2 A. Yes. A. Yes. 3 3 O. Now, Prolene mesh is a -- is the hernia mesh Q. And the company tested Vypro mesh. You see 4 used by Ethicon that has a pore size smaller than the that? Prolift mesh; correct? A. Yes. Q. And it tested three different kinds of Vypro 6 A. How -- what is your definition of the pore 6 mesh. Do you see that? size and how it is measured? What is the pore size 8 of the Prolift mesh? So it is insufficient. We know A. Yes. 9 it meanwhile to give just one figure. Q. And Vypro mesh is the mesh that you helped 10 Q. Without tension it is clear that the pore Ethicon develop; correct? size of the Prolene mesh used in hernia repair is 11 A. Yes. 12 12 smaller than the --Q. And Vypro mesh is the mesh that you 13 A. The area of affected pores maybe. characterize as being lightweight large pore; 14 Q. Let me ask the question again, and let me 14 correct? 15 finish it before you give an answer. 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Sorry. 16 Q. And the company in Klinge Trial Exhibit 17 Number 4 compared the tissue reaction and tissue Q. Doctor, it's true that the area of the pore for the Prolene mesh used in hernia repair is smaller integration of all seven of these meshes; correct? 19 than the pore size without tension of the pore size A. Yeah. 20 of the Prolene polypropylene mesh used in Prolift? Q. And they implanted these meshes in rats for 21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 7 days, 28 days, 63 days, and 91 days; correct? 22 THE WITNESS: Again, it would be necessary to 22 A. Yeah, that is correct. 23 23 make a testing of the effective porosity for the Q. And after each of these time frames, some of 24 Prolene mesh. I didn't do it, so I know -- I the rats were killed, sacrificed, and then the mesh Page 147 Page 149 don't know it. 1 removed so it could be analyzed; correct? 1 2 A. Yeah. 2 BY MR. THOMAS: 3 Q. I'm not talking about effective porosity. Q. And when you removed the mesh from the animal to be analyzed, how do you prepare samples? What's 4 I'm not -- I'm talking about porosity at rest without tension. the proper way to prepare samples? 6 A. The textile porosity --6 A. Usually the tissue sample is fixed in 7 formaldehyde, and then later on it is -- it is put Q. Yes. into a paraffin so that you can make some sections of 8 A. -- you mean. 9 Q. Well, if you want to call it textile it, and then later on it's stained. 10 porosity, that's fine. 10 Q. And so the formaldehyde is added to the 11 A. But this is essential, because we are 11 samples upon withdrawal not only as a preservative sticking to the effective porosity. We wanted to but to fix the tissue in place; correct? 13 A. To stop the degradation of the tissues. If 13 know what happens to the tissue. The textile porosity is not relevant, so -you don't use formaldehyde and you're storing some 15 Q. Okay. To you. tissue at home, you will see a catastrophe. It will 16 A. There are no figures about this. be destroyed within some few days. 17 17 Q. Okay. The company used, in its study, Q. And after the mesh is then cut into slides from the paraffin, a study pathologist looked at 18 Prolene mesh, which is the same mesh used in hernia 18 19 repair. You agree with that? 19 these slides; correct? 20 A. There has been a Prolene mesh used in hernia 20 A. Someone is looking sometime, yeah. repair, though there are some modifications. It is 21 Q. Well, you know that a pathologist in this case looked at the slides. Do you know that? 22 not clear which modification exactly was used here, 22 23 23 A. In this case, yeah. But it's not necessary but, yeah. 24 that it has to be a pathologist. Q. Okay. And the company also tested Prolene

Page 150 Page 152 1 Q. But a pathologist is what? What's a put under a microscope; correct? 2 person -- what's a pathologist do? A. Yeah. 3 A. A pathologist is someone who has the training Q. And it's the microscopic observation by the to investigate tissues that are extracted by some person looking at it that causes him to reach the surgical means or from some tissues, and there he was conclusions that they express in the report; correct? A. No, not always. You have to look to the trained to identify the changes in these tissues. 7 Q. Now, you didn't work at the institute of microscopical appearance of the explants as well. So pathology at your hospital, did you? the microscopical mainly is an explanation of what 9 A. No. happens, but for the patient it's more important what 10 Q. And you don't -- you didn't do a residence in happens clinically. pathology, did you? 11 Q. You've never looked at the slides that this 12 12 A. No. study generated in Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 4, 13 Q. And you had no fellowship in pathology, did 13 have you? 14 you? 14 A. I never got the slides from this study from 15 15 study from Barbolt. I've seen it. A. No. 16 Q. As a matter of fact, you're not permitted to 16 Q. And you know they're still available, don't sign pathology reports at your hospital; correct? 17 you? 18 A. That is correct. 18 A. What? 19 19 O. Now --Q. You know they're still available, don't you? 20 A. They are still available? 20 A. But in research, the examination never is done by the pathologist but by the researcher 21 O. Yes. You haven't looked at it? 22 22 themselves. A. I didn't get it, yes. 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object. Move to strike THE WITNESS: Is it possible to get? 24 everything after "that's correct." BY MR. THOMAS: Page 153 Page 151 1 BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 271. That's 2 your 2005 paper. Q. Let's go to page 2. And page 2, the third line down under "Summary," the summary finds, "The MR. ANDERSON: That's not it. inflammatory reaction among the different BY MR. THOMAS: constructions was relatively similar, ranging from Q. And this is a paper that you prepared with 6 minimal to mild in intensity and, thus, were all Dr. Klosterhalfen and Dr. Junge? 7 considered to be biocompatible." A. That is correct. 8 Did I read that correctly? 8 Q. And would you call this a review article? 9 A. Yes. A. Yes. 10 Q. If you go to page 9, first line of the second 10 Q. And you're kind of reviewing the state of medicine and science on the concept of lightweight paragraph on page 9, page 9 of 27 up at the top. "Study found that all of the meshes had sufficient and large pore mesh for hernia repair in this paper; porosity to allow for integration with surrounding 13 correct? 14 14 connective tissue." A. Please can you repeat the question, the 15 Did I read that correctly? 15 details? 16 16 A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Can you read that back, please. 17 17 Q. And, Doctor, when people conduct studies, THE COURT REPORTER: "And you're kind of 18 they make these slides that you referred to with the 18 reviewing the state of medicine and science on 19 19 tissue samples; correct? So that they can be looked the concept of lightweight and large pore mesh 20 at under a microscope, that's what you do; correct? 20 for hernia repair in this paper; correct?" 21 21 THE WITNESS: And "you're kind"? A. Again? 22 22 Q. When studies, like Klinge Trial Exhibit MR. THOMAS: I'm sorry. Number 4, conducted the tissue that's removed from 23 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the first the slices, the paraffin, it's made into slides to 24 words.

Page 154 Page 156 1 MR. THOMAS: That's my fault. I apologize. 1 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. But your version is 2 2 BY MR. THOMAS: different from this version because you've got 3 3 Q. The purpose of this article, yours stamped at the bottom. THE WITNESS: Do you have the pages? Plaintiff's 2071, is to review the state of science and medicine on the mesh concept known as lightweight MR. ANDERSON: And we don't have the stamped. THE WITNESS: We don't have the same pages. and large porous for hernia repair? 6 7 7 MR. THOMAS: I'll do it from your document. A. Yes, that is --8 8 Q. Thank you. Let me see if I can find the page. I wonder why 9 9 it's different. A. -- true. BY MR. THOMAS: 10 Q. And in here you talk not only about old 10 11 meshes but also new meshes; correct? 11 Q. Let's go to page 10 of Exhibit 0271, please. 12 12 Do you have page 10? A. The new generation mesh, yeah. 13 Q. And in the abstract, which is on the first 13 A. Yes. 14 14 page of 2071, you state that: "All experimental Q. On page 10 you and your coauthors begin evidence and first clinical data indicate the talking about the new generation of lightweight large porous meshes, Vypro and Vypro II; correct? superiority of the lightweight large porous mesh 17 concept with regard to a reduced number of long-term 17 A. Yes. complications and particularly increased comfort and 18 Q. And is the purpose of this discussion to talk 19 quality of life after hernia repair." about the promise of Vypro and Vypro II in hernia 20 Correct? 20 repair; correct? 21 A. The purpose of what? 21 A. That is correct. 22 22 Q. And what you're referring to there are your Q. The promise. 23 A. Promise? 23 initial results; correct? 24 24 A. What is your definition of "initial"? Q. The future potential benefits of Vypro -- let Page 155 Page 157 Q. Well, there's certainly -me ask the question again. 1 2 A. It is what we know to this time point. On page 10 of Exhibit 0271, there is a 3 Q. There are no long-term studies available to paragraph titled "The New Generation: Lightweight 4 determine the extent to which lightweight large pore Large Porous Meshes." Correct? mesh behaves better than small pore heavyweight mesh, 5 A. Yes. 6 as you've described them in this study, at the time Q. And in that paragraph, series of paragraphs, 7 that you published this study? you discuss Vypro and Vypro II? 8 8 A. At this time, no, not to my knowledge. A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 112, please. Q. And those -- Vypro I is the mesh that you 10 I don't think that's the right page, Doctor. 10 helped develop with Ethicon for hernia repair; correct? 11 112. 11 12 MR. ANDERSON: 112? 12 A. Yes. 13 13 MR. THOMAS: Under --Q. And Vypro II was a subsequent development of 14 MR. ANDERSON: You're reading off of your Vypro again in hernia repair; correct? 15 exhibit number, but you said go to Plaintiff's 15 A. Yes. 16 Exhibit, so ours doesn't have your -- do you have 16 Q. And the bottom of that paragraph or that 17 your trial exhibit number? section says that, "First clinical trials confirm the 18 MR. THOMAS: I'm looking at this number right expected superiority of the lightweight large porous 19 here, 112. Is that different than yours? 19 mesh concept concerning quality of life after hernia 20 20 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. Maybe yours is repairs." 21 different. Let's see. Did you -- did you give 21 What does "quality of life after hernia 22 me your exhibit? 22 repair" mean? 23 MR. THOMAS: You already -- it's already in 23 A. It depends from the -- from the trial that 24 the record, so I didn't. you are doing. Quality of life -- to measure quality

- 1 of life, there are different tools depending on the
- ² investigator. It can be looking to foreign body
- 3 sensation. It can be looking to pain. It can be
- 4 looking to serious complications. So a lot of these
- 5 things. And there are some attempts to quantify
- 6 them. There are some questionnaires, as SF-36, which
- ⁷ gives a lot of data. So you try to quantify quality
- 8 of life to know what happens to the patient.
- 9 Q. Okay. And is the quality of life an
- 10 appropriate end point for a study to determine
- whether a mesh implanted in the body is functioning
- 12 appropriately?
- A. It's usually a secondary end point. It's --
- Q. Secondary to recurrence?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. Usually.
- Q. All right. But it's an important end point
- 19 to understand how a patient's quality of life has
- been affected by the hernia repair; correct?
- A. It's one way to measure this.
- Q. And at this time there are no long-term
- studies on Vypro and Vypro II to determine the
- quality of life for these patients after hernia

- Page 160
- 1 it is not possible at all to do this confirmation at
- 2 clinical studies.
- Q. At the time that you published Exhibit 2075,
- 4 there were no clinical trials available to confirm
- 5 the promising preclinical results of the lightweight
- 6 large porous polypropylene mesh that most
- 7 manufacturers have added; correct?
 - A. So it is correct --
- 9 Q. Thank you.
 - A. -- in regard to comparing studies.
- 11 Q. Okay.

8

10

19

- A. It is not correct in regards to the analysis
- 13 of explants.
- MR. THOMAS: All right. Move to strike
- everything after "it's correct."
- 16 BY MR. THOMAS:
- Q. You also discuss on page 11 of Exhibit 0271
- 18 Ultrapro; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And Ultrapro is the mesh that you understand
- 21 to be the predominant mesh used in hernia repair in
- ²² Germany; is that correct?
- A. So far I know, yes.
- Q. And at the end of your discussion of

Page 159

- 1 repair; true?
- A. So far I remember the long term is there one,
- 3 two years maybe. I don't know exactly the Brinkman
- 4 study when it came up, when it was published there.
- 5 It was about three years, so it depends from what you
- 6 are thinking of long term.
- 7 Q. And under polypropylene, next paragraph down,
- 8 you say, "Most manufacturers have added to their
- 9 range of polypropylene heavyweight mesh small porous
- 10 mesh modifications, lightweight large porous
- 11 adaptation."
- 12 Correct? Did I read that correctly?
- 13 A. Yeah. Yes.
- Q. And if you go to the last sentence in that
- 15 section it says, "However, clinical trials have yet
- 16 to confirm the promising preclinical results."
- Now, what does that mean?
- A. That means that at that time we hoped that it
- 19 is possible to make the clinical trial and to
- 20 demonstrate that one material is superior to another
- 21 just by operating 150 patients in this direction
- 22 or -- and 150 patients with another mesh, and we did
- 23 some prospective clinical trials with Ethicon
- together to get this information; but we learned that

- Page 161

 Ultrapro, you say, "Overall the Monocryl
- 2 polypropylene composite Ultrapro is currently the
- 3 member of the lightweight large porous mesh family
- 4 with the lowest foreign body reaction and optimized
- ⁵ handling. The first clinical studies produced
- 6 encouraging results to move forward with this mesh
- 7 concept."
- 8 Correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe you've already told me that you
- do not think that Ultrapro -- strike that.
- Ultrapro is the same mesh as Prolift+M;
- 13 correct?

14

- A. Prolift+M used the Ultrapro.
- Q. Okay. And you do not believe that Ultrapro,
- 16 known as Prolift+M, is appropriate for use in the
- pelvic floor; correct?
- A. This work is focused on the tension-free
- 19 situation in the abdominal wall without applying any
 - 0 forces to it. At that time we didn't think that
- someone is using this mesh for a situation where you
- ²² applied some forces; and, therefore, Ultrapro is
- still the mesh I think with the largest pores and,
- therefore, with a pretty nice tissue integration if

 $^{\, 1}$ applied without any force and tension and if it's

² laying flat.

7

³ Q. Is it your opinion that Ultrapro should not

4 be used for abdominal hernia repair?

A. For abdominal hernia repair there is, of

course, an indication to use it.

Q. Okay. And so is it your opinion that

8 Ultrapro is appropriate for abdominal hernia repair,

9 but the same mesh in Ultrapro, which is in Prolift+M,

10 is not appropriate in the pelvic floor?

11 A. It doesn't matter in what tissue you are

12 using it. It is -- you should use it in a

tension-free way so that it's laying as an area, as a

14 flat mesh there. If you apply some tension -- and we

5 made ourselves experiments using the Ultrapro close

16 to the diaphragma where we applied some tension to it

and got disappointing results with the Ultrapro. So

18 it depends on the specific indication function of

19 these devices.

Q. And surgical technique?

A. If you are free -- if you are free, of

course, with the surgical technique you can do every

complication that is imaginable, but there are some

procedures that need some forces, and in these cases

Page 164

O. You answered my question no, so I need to

Q. You answered my question no, so I need to answer it again -- ask it again.

3 It's true that you have never designed a mesh

for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse; true?
 A. I was involved in the design process in

6 regards to the question whether the textile design

7 fulfills these requirements, but, of course, I'm not

8 entirely manufacturing or designing meshes for the

⁹ pelvic floor.

Q. And can you identify for me any mesh

11 available in the United States today that is -- where

12 the benefits outweigh the risk for the treatment of

B pelvic organ prolapse?

A. You cannot answer this question because it's a general statement. It doesn't make any sense.

Q. Okay. Since the work that you've done -- strike that.

Since your 2005 article that you've just

described, there have been long-term studies

comparing lightweight large pore mesh against small

21 pore heavyweight mesh, haven't there?

A. There has been published several studies,

23 yeah.

14

16

17

24

1

6

14

20

Page 163

1 this mesh is not a good alternative. It's not a safe

² alternative.

Q. And when you speak about "this mesh," you're

4 talking about Ultrapro?

5 A. Ultrapro.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. Yeah, you talk.

8 Q. Is there any mesh that you've identified

⁹ that's appropriate for use in the pelvic floor for

10 the repair of pelvic organ prolapse?

A. I cannot give a general statement to this. I

2 know that there are textile constructions and design

13 for meshes that are more resistant to the collapse,

but it depends on the indication of the specific

15 situation. There is never one device for all

diseases in the pelvic floor. No, it's not done. It

has to be very carefully designed for the specific

18 purpose.

11

Q. And you've not designed a specific mesh for

the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse; true?

A. No. I'm only asked sometimes whether this

22 fits our -- whether the device of the FEG, where I am

²³ a consultant, fits to these principles of less

material, large pores and stability of the structure.

Page 165 (Klinge Trial Deposition Exhibit No. 5,

Article entitled "Long-term outcome and quality of

3 life after open incisional hernia repair - light

4 versus heavyweight meshes", was marked for

5 identification.)

BY MR. THOMAS:

8 Q. Let me show you what's been marked as Klinge

⁹ Trial Exhibit Number 5. It's a research article

10 titled "Long-Term Outcome and Quality of Life After

1 Open Incisional Hernia Repair, Light Versus

12 Heavyweight Meshes." First author is Ladurner. Have

13 you seen this study?

A. I guess I have seen it, yeah.

Q. And this is a long-term study of up to 72

16 months after incisional hernia repair with

17 lightweight meshes compared to heavyweight meshes;

18 correct?

19 A. Yeah.

Q. And the heavyweight mesh is a Prolene mesh.

21 The lightweight mesh is your Vypro mesh; correct?

22 A. Yes.

Q. And the two groups were equal in body mass

index, age, gender and hernia size; correct?

Page 166 Page 168 1 Is that correct? 1 this conclusion; and it is not justified. And the 2 A. Age and gender you said? statistical power is just one measure. Yeah, you 3 have to consider this. It's not because I want to Q. If you look in the abstract, see in the abstract? have it. 5 A. Yeah. 5 Q. Let's --6 Q. It says right in the middle under "Methods," A. And, therefore, it is so difficult to make a clinical trial comparing two different devices in "The two groups were equal in BMI," which is body mass index, "age, gender and hernia size." Correct? similar patients. 9 Q. Let's --A. Yeah. I'm looking to the data there, so A. And, therefore, we need as an alternative 10 10 hernia size almost -- it's -- yeah. 11 registry. Yes, I'm sure. Q. Okay. And it finds in the conclusions, "In 12 Q. Registries is the better way to go? this study the health-related quality of life based 13 upon FS36 survey after open incisional hernia repair 13 A. It offers the option to accumulate data from with light or heavyweight meshes is not related to a long -- of very many patients over a long period, and, of course, the data of the registries over the the mesh type in the long-term follow-up." 16 Did I read that correctly? time will help us to understand it. 17 17 MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break and change A. You read this correctly. 18 Q. And what that means is that the kind of mesh 18 the tape. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. that was used in the hernia repair did not affect the 20 long-term quality of life in the patients in this The time is 12:21 p.m. (A recess was taken from 12:21 p.m. until 12:33 p.m.) 21 study; true? 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks beginning of 22 22 A. No. Because it is ridiculous to take this 23 Video Number 3. We are back on the record. The study with 12 patients in one group and 12 patients 24 in the other and to make a read out with the FS36. time is 12:33 p.m. Page 167 Page 169 1 It is so tremendously underpowered that this 1 BY MR. THOMAS: statement, of course, is not confirmed by these data. Q. Doctor, before we were -- broke, we were 3 It's ridiculous to discuss this. talking about the limitations in randomized 4 Q. Well, it's certainly what this study reports; controlled trials, about them not being sufficiently 5 correct? powered; correct? 6 A. You read it correctly. 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. Okay. And it's published in the 8 (Klinge Trial Exhibit No. 6, Article entitled peer-reviewed literature? 9 A. Yes. Maybe -- yeah. BMC should be peer "Bias-Variation Dilemma Challenges Clinical Trials: 10 reviewed, yes. Inherent Limitations of Randomized Controlled Trials and Meta-Analyses Comparing Hernia Therapies", was 11 Q. Okay. But you disagree with the findings in 12 Exhibit Number 5; correct? 12 marked for identification.) 13 13 A. No. The findings they describe, but the 14 conclusion, it is underpowered. You cannot state 14 BY MR. THOMAS: this. So the findings, when they measured it, yeah, Q. Let me hand you what I have marked as Klinge 16 it can be correct. But to take 12 patients in one Trial Exhibit Number 6. Klinge Trial Exhibit 17 group and 12 in the other and come up with this 17 Number 6 is a paper you have just published on this 18 conclusion, it is dangerous to do so. 18 topic, isn't it? 19 19 Q. And the reason why is because you want to A. Yes. have more subjects in the study or perhaps even a 20 Q. And on the first page, I guess it's a 2014

21

paper, titled "Bias-Variation Dilemma Challenges

Therapies." And in this paper you criticize

Clinical Trials: Inherent Limitations of Randomized Controlled Trials and Meta-Analyses Comparing Hernia

22 make certain findings; correct?

21

23

registry to allow you to have more data upon which to

A. The reason is coming from the statistics, that you need a certain amount of data to come with Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3760-5 Filed 242117 Page 45 95 Page 134444 Page 170 Page 172 ¹ randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses; A. So registries should be done additionally to 2 correct? randomized controlled trials depending on the

3 A. I showed the limitation of these --

4 O. Yes.

10

16

17

18

20

5 A. -- or some questions.

6 Q. And the ultimate conclusion of this paper,

Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 6, is that registries provide better information than do randomized

9 controlled trials or meta-analyses; correct?

A. Not correct. If you believe that better is sufficient than -- that is not the purpose to have 11 12 better data, but we need other data, and registries are able to provide additional data that will help us to define what is the outcome of the patients, yes, 15 additionally.

Q. Go to page 787 of Trial Exhibit Number 6. You see that? You see in the middle of the second paragraph it begins, "Observational studies nowadays can best be done with the help of registries, would provide a structure and a set of 21 variables that are known to reflect all major

22 influences on the patients' outcome. In this regard,

23 it uses the same variables as the RSCT but did not restrict its data acquisition to a small group of

Page 171

study patients." 1

2 Did I read that correctly?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And the purpose of that is to talk about more

robust data set from a greater number of people?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. RSCTs are outside 6

7 the scope of direct.

8 But go ahead, Dr. Klinge.

9 THE WITNESS: So I'm not sure whether it's 10 possible to reduce robust database, whether this

11 covers all the questions that we address in this

12 article.

13 BY MR. THOMAS:

14 Q. Do you conclude from this article that using 15 data from a long-term registry with a large number of

patients with more data is better than doing the 16

17 randomized controlled trials that have been used in

18 the past?

19 A. I would never say that it is better in a

general term. It will help us to define the outcome

21 of the patients, better than in -- with all the

22 limitations of randomized controlled trials, and this

23 is expressed on several pages there.

24 Q. Okay.

question, depending on the setting.

Q. And registries, just for the benefit of the

jury, are sets of data that are accumulated as people

go through hernia surgeries, and data is completed at

the time of the surgery; correct?

8 A. The data are not complete yet. Registries

have the advantage that you can include various kinds

of patients, not only restricted to some standard

patients, and you can include a follow-up of various

12 times, very long period. There is -- in clinical

studies, you usually finish after one day or one year

or two years. So the registry offers a lot of more

options to made a post-market surveillance quality

control of devices; and, therefore, I think it is

very interesting from manufacturer if they are

18 interested in making their follow-up of their

patients.

20 Q. Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as

21 Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 6.

THE COURT REPORTER: It should be 7.

MR. THOMAS: Seven. I'm sorry. Let me mark

on it 7. Let me have that back, Doctor, please.

22

23

24

1

14

15

20

23

(Klinge Trial Exhibit No. 7, Article entitled

"Prospective, Long-Term Comparison of Quality of Life

in Laparoscopic Versus Open Ventral Hernia Repair",

was marked for identification.)

BY MR. THOMAS:

8 Q. Doctor, let me show you what I have marked

now as Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 7.

10 Klinge Trial Exhibit Number 7 is a 2012

11 study, first author Colavita, titled "Prospective,

Long-Term Comparison of Quality of Life in

Laparoscopic Versus Open Ventral Hernia Repair." 13

Have you seen this before?

A. I have seen it, but it's some time ago.

16 Q. Okay. And if you look down at the methods,

17 patients in this study were drawn from the

international hernia registry; correct? 18

19 A. Yes.

Q. And it's 30 centers in the United States,

21 Canada and Europe and Australia; correct?

22 A. Yes.

Q. And in this study the authors looked at a

total of 710 hernia repairs; correct?

6

14

Page 174 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And looked at the long-term comparison of
- 3 quality of life for these 710 hernia repairs;
- 4 correct?

1

- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And they used what's known as the Carolinas
- ⁷ Comfort Scale. Are you familiar with that?
- 8 A. Yes, I know it.
- 9 O. And that's -- is that similar to the SF-36
- 10 questionnaire that we talked about in the previous
- 11 study?
- 12 A. It is another tool.
- Q. Okay. Do you recognize the Carolinas Comfort
- 14 Scale as a way to determine the quality of life in a
- 15 population of patients?
- A. It is a way to measure it, yeah.
- Q. Okay. At the time this study was published
- in 2012, if you look at the first page under the
- abstract and conclusion, to your knowledge was this
- 20 the largest prospective quality of life study
- 21 comparing laparoscopic ventral hernia repair with
- 22 open ventral hernia repair, or do you know?
- A. I think that's -- that is true.
- Q. Okay. And so what these authors did was go

- ¹ study, first full paragraph on the left, it says, "In
 - ² multivariant analysis, mesh weight had no effect on

Page 176

Page 177

- ³ pain, activity limitation, mesh sensation, or overall
- 4 symptoms in the present study."
- 5 Did I read that correctly?
 - A. You read this correctly.
 - Q. And it follows down to the end of that
- 8 paragraph and it says, "In a recent small comparative
- 9 study of open ventral hernia repair with light and
- 0 heavyweight mesh, no difference was seen in quality
- of life using SF-36 with long-term follow-up."

And that's the study that we looked at a minute ago, the Ladurner study; correct?

- A. Twenty-seven, if this is here, the reference,
- ¹⁵ 27? Yeah, you're right.
- Q. The results of this study, Klinge Exhibit 7,
- confirms these findings -- this long-term study from
- 18 the registry, Klinge Exhibit Number 7, confirms the
- randomized controlled trials, the Ladurner study,
- that we talked about before. That's what this study
- 21 finds; correct?
- A. It confirms that the insufficiency of this
 - 3 study to detect any differences. It is not possible
- to prove something by doing these studies, and,

Page 175

- 1 to the registry and get the data that you have just
- 2 described in your previous answers in order to make
- 3 their analysis of a bigger population with more data
- 4 for their findings; true?
- 5 A. They are going to a registry, but the
- 6 registry is not only registry because it has name.
- 7 You have to go into the details, look at what
- 8 variables are recorded. That was outlined in the
- 9 previous paper for me.
- Q. If you go to page 719 of Klinge Trial Exhibit
- Number 7, first paragraph on the left, midway down,
- the authors conclude from this study, "There was no
- 13 difference in mesh sensation symptoms between
- 14 heavyweight or lightweight polypropylene mesh. As
- mentioned earlier, both were used with similar
- 16 frequency and laparoscopic and open repairs."
 - Did I read that correctly?
- 18 A. Yes.

17

- Q. So across this population of 710 hernia
- 20 repairs, comparing lightweight mesh as opposed to
- 21 heavyweight mesh, they found no difference as to mesh
- 22 sensation; correct? Is that correct?
- A. They described that they found -- yeah.
- Q. Okay. And if you go to page 721 of the same

- 1 therefore, there is a tremendous flaw in the
- ² interpretation of these data. You are not allowed to
- 3 say that this study proves that result is similar.
- 4 It is -- it is not justified to do so.
- 5 Q. Okay. Let's --
- 6 A. Even if it's done and even if it's published,
- 7 no.
- 8 Q. So you disagree with the findings in Klinge
- 9 Exhibit Number -- Trial Exhibit Number 7?
- A. The interpretation, yeah. It's completely
- 11 not justified.
- Q. Now, Dr. Klinge, you have contended for years
- that traditional use of hernia repair are
- 4 overengineered -- excuse me. Start over again.
- 15 Strike that.

20

24

- Doctor, you have contended for years that
- traditional meshes used for hernia repair are
 - 8 overengineered and is stronger than is necessary for
- 19 the treatment of hernia repair; correct?
 - A. We found this, yeah.
- Q. And you have argued that a lighter weight
- larger pore mesh is better to accomplish the same
- 23 treatment of hernia repair; correct?
 - A. Is better to?

Page 178 Page 180

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. I didn't get every word of your question.
- ³ Q. Let me ask it again.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You have contended that a manufacturer can
- 6 design a lighter weight larger pore mesh to
- 7 accomplish the same repair of a hernia as you can
- 8 with a traditional heavyweight mesh; correct?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. What did I miss? You can't get the same
- 11 repair with a lighter weight larger pore mesh?
- A. If you're believing that you can exactly the
- same type of repairs with a heavyweight mesh and a
- large pore lightweight meshes, no, that's not true.
- 15 There are indications for the different meshes.
- 16 Q. Still appropriate --
- A. What we said is that you can improve the
- tissue integration by reduction of the material,
- 19 making the pores larger, and that -- therefore, we
- developed together with Ethicon these large pore
- developed together with Eurocon these large pore
- 21 meshes, and this was confirmed in many animal trials,
- 22 human explants.
- Q. Let's go back to P1087, please.
- MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 1087?

- Q. And that's the mesh that you helped develop
- ² with Ethicon?
- A. Yes, with a pore size of 3 to 5 millimeter.
- Q. And you compared Vypro against the
- ⁵ heavyweight monofilament Marlex; correct?
- 6 A. In this article we took Marlex --
 - O. Correct.
- 8 A. -- as an example of a small pore mesh.
- ⁹ Q. And the Marlex pore size is not the same as
 - the Prolene Soft pore size, is it?
 - A. There are differences.
- Q. Yes. The Marlex mesh is typically reported
- as a 0.6 millimeter mesh, isn't it?
- A. Roughly it is assumed that it has smaller
- 15 pores.

11

- Q. And the Prolene Soft Mesh is typically
- described as a mesh with about 2.5 millimeters;
- 18 correct?
- A. I don't want to say that it is possible to
 - reflect the pore size just by one figure. You know
- ²¹ all the limitations of all these techniques, yeah.
- Q. They are certainly different, aren't they?
- 23 The Marlex and the Prolene Soft Mesh are very
- ²⁴ different in their characteristics?

Page 179

- MR. THOMAS: Yes. It's the PowerPoint.
- 2 MR. ANDERSON: I know. We just have a stack
- of documents, so I have to find it.
- 4 MR. THOMAS: Strike that. I'm not going to
- 5 do that one anyway.
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
- 7 MR. THOMAS: Let's go to P0260, which is a
- 8 2002 study with Dr. Klinge and Dr. Klosterhalfen.
- 9 MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
- 10 BY MR. THOMAS:
- Q. Doctor, on direct examination you discussed
- 12 Plaintiff's Exhibit 0260 in connection with your
- earlier work on talking about the impact of polymer
- pore size on the interface scar formation in a rat
- 15 model: correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. And you used this article to talk about your
- 18 findings about what happens with the smaller pore
- 19 heavyweight mesh, correct, as compared to the
- 20 heavyweight large pore mesh?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And in this study, the lightweight large pore
- 23 mesh that you use as a comparator is your Vypro mesh?
- 24 A. Yes.

- A. Yes. The soft Prolene mesh is more open than
- 2 the Marlex.
- Q. Okay. Doctor, you cannot point to a mesh
- 4 today for use in the pelvic floor that has no risks
- 5 of infection, can you?
- 6 A. There is no study -- if you -- no risks means
- 7 no complication at all? No, there is no way.
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: I'll just say objection;
- 9 outside the scope of direct.
- 10 BY MR. THOMAS:
- Q. And, Doctor, you do not know of any mesh
- 12 construction that leads to a lower -- strike that.
- Doctor, you do not know of any mesh
 - 4 construction for use in the pelvic floor that leads
- to a lower erosion rate than Prolift; correct?
- A. I know that there are -- that there are ways
- 17 to reduce the risk. There are no clinical
- 18 comparative studies, to my knowledge.
- Q. Doctor, you know of -- you do not know of any
 - mesh construction today for use in the pelvic floor
- that leads to a lower erosion rate than Prolift;
- 22 correct?
- MR. ANDERSON: Objection; asked and answered.
- 24 THE WITNESS: A mesh construction which

			<u> </u>
	Page 182		Page 184
1	follows our criteria reducing the material,	1	A. No comparative studies are available, to my
2	making it larger, it will reduce the erosion	2	knowledge.
3	rate, but there is no comparative study	3	Q. Okay. Can you name one mesh on the market
4	available.	4	today that you think that the benefits outweigh the
5	BY MR. THOMAS:	5	risks for use in treatment of pelvic organ prolapse?
6	Q. Let me ask the question again, Doctor.	6	A. No. And to answer this question, it is
7	Are you aware of any mesh construction for	7	impossible in this general statement. It depends on
8	use in the pelvic floor that leads strike that.	8	the patient. It depends on the indication. Then you
9	Are you aware of any mesh constructions	9	have to specify which implant under which conditions.
10	available for use today in the pelvic floor that	10	So it is not possible to answer this question.
11	leads to lower erosion rates than Prolift?	11	MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break for a second.
12	MR. ANDERSON: Objection; asked and answered	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.
13	for the third time.	13	The time is 12:55 p.m.
14	THE WITNESS: As I don't know any comparative	14	(A recess was taken from 12:55 p.m. until 1:00 p.m.)
15	study directly comparing different mesh	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
16	structures, I only can say that there are mesh	16	The time is 1:00 p.m.
17	structures with lower risk than the Prolift.	17	BY MR. THOMAS:
18	BY MR. THOMAS:	18	Q. Doctor, can you tell the jury one product on
19	Q. But you are	19	the market for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse
20	A. For erosion.	20	that you think is better than the Prolift?
21	Q. But you are aware of no studies that prove	21	A. In regard to the effective porosity, for
22	that point; correct?	22	example, and the strain, I know that DynaMesh has a
23	A. No clinical studies proving this.	23	device that is superior to the Prolift.
24	Q. Is that true?	24	Q. And the DynaMesh is not is that the only
	Q. Is that true:		Q. This the Dynamicsh is not as that the only
	Page 183		Page 185
1	A. That is true.	1	one that you think is better for use in the pelvic
2	Q. Okay. And you are aware of no mesh	2	floor
3	construction that causes less chronic pain in the	3	A. I know
4	pelvic floor than Prolift; true?	4	Q than the Prolift?
5	A. No clinical study comparing different mesh	5	A. I know there is a huge variety. I'm not sure
6	materials and showing differences are available up to	6	which is what is on the market, actually, but I
7	now.	7	know that there are various designs of meshes; but we
8	Q. And you're aware of no mesh design for use in	8	didn't make a systematic testing of all devices that
9	the pelvic floor that provides lower contracture	9	are on the market or have been on the market.
10	rates than the Prolift; true?	10	Q. And the DynaMesh is not available for sale in
11	A. No, that's not true. I'm well-aware of mesh	11	the United States; correct?
12	criteria for a safer mesh design as we outlined it,	12	A. I think so, but I'm not informed about the
13	less material, larger pores and no pore collapse.	13	Q. You think that it is available?
14	This will make a safer mesh design.	14	MR. ANDERSON: Let him finish his answer, if
15	Q. Are you aware of any mesh design available	15	you wouldn't find.
16	for use today in the pelvic floor that provides lower	16	Go ahead.
17	contraction rates than the Prolift?	17	THE WITNESS: I think it is not available,
18	A. There are mesh constructions which are closer	18	but I'm not knowing all the details which product
19	to these criteria for mesh design for safe mesh	19	is in which country on the market.
20	design.	20	BY MR. THOMAS:
21	Q. Are you aware of any comparative studies	21	Q. Let me
22	which show that there is a mesh available for use in	22	A. I'm not involved in this business.
23	the pelvic floor today that provides lower	23	Q. Let me ask the question this way so it's
24	contracture rates than the Prolift?	24	clear. We had some interruptions.
1		1	1

C	Z	Ez-ma-azaza pacamebizolariaDiricaWe	3 -	Twe williges age #: 113447
		Page 186		Page 188
	1	You don't know whether DynaMesh, manufactured	1	A. I don't have an own at the moment I don't
	2	by FEG, is available for sale in the United States,	2	have an own collection of pelvic floor meshes.
	3	do you?	3	Q. You collect hernia meshes; correct?
	4	A. I don't know.	4	A. We had collected, but meanwhile the all
	5	Q. Okay. And you said that DynaMesh is better	5	the tissue samples are stored in a biotissue bank
	6	than Prolift from the perspective of effective	6	from the university from the institute for pathology
	7	porosity?	7	centrally where they are stored under GCP conditions.
	8	A. As we measure it, as we can show with our	8	Q. Okay. But you've never asked your department
	9	measurements it has a higher effective porosity, it	9	that deals with the pelvic floor to preserve and
	10	has a higher stability when put to strain.	10	collect meshes that have been removed in explants;
	11	Q. But you agree that there are no clinical	11	correct?
	12	long-term studies that prove the superiority of the	12	A. No. It's no longer an issue that I that
	13	DynaMesh over the Prolift for the treatment of pelvic	13	I'm asked to collect these. They are sent all to
	14	organ prolapse?	14	this bio bank; and when you want to make a research,
	15	A. There are at all no comparative studies	15	then you can ask for getting these samples.
	16	showing the superiority of any of these, and, again,	16	Q. Now, to your knowledge, there's only one mesh
	17	it is not possible to do so. I don't see a good way	17	manufacturer in the world that makes mesh made of
	18	to do so.	18	PVDF for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse;
	19	Q. And you're aware of no studies that	19	correct?
	20	demonstrate that PVDF mesh, which is DynaMesh, is	20	A. In the moment, I think this is true.
	21	superior to the polypropylene mesh used in Prolift;	21	Q. And that's FEG?
	22	correct?	22	A. This is true.
	23	A. We know from many histological studies that	23	Q. And that's the German mesh manufacturer
	24	the tissue reaction to PVDF is better than the tissue	24	headquartered here in Aachen?
		Page 187		Page 189
	1	reaction to the polypropylene, less inflammation,	1	A. Yes.
	2	less scarring when you are using the PVDF.	2	Q. And you helped PVDF excuse me. Strike
	3	Q. And the PVDF strike that.	3	that.
	4	You're aware of no studies in humans that	4	You helped FEG develop its PVDF mesh, didn't
	5	demonstrate that PVDF mesh is superior to	5	you?
	6	polypropylene mesh used in Prolift for pelvic floor	6	A. Yes.
	7	repair; correct?	7	Q. And you're named on the patent for PVDF mesh?
	8	A. Our studies in human explants always	8	A. Yes.
	9	confirmed the superiority of PVDF as a polymer to be	9	Q. You've done research for FEG since 1994;
	10	integrated in tissue.	10	correct?
	11	Q. And is this in hernia explants?	11	A. That is correct.
	12	A. This is in hernia explants.	12	Q. And Dr. Oberlinski is one of FEG's owners;
	13	Q. And these this is the hernia explants that	13	correct?
	14	you've reviewed with Dr. Klosterhalfen in his	14	A. That is correct.
	15	collection?	15	Q. And he used to work with you at the
	16	A. Yes.	16	university; correct?
	17	Q. And have you looked at any PVDF mesh explants	17	A. This again?
	18	from the pelvic floor?	18	Q. He used to work Dr. Oberlinski used to
	19	A. Up to now I never saw one.	19	work at the university, didn't he?
	20	Q. Okay.	20	A. When we started our collaboration, he worked
	21	A. Which is a good sign.	21	for the institute for textile engineering at the
	22	Q. And just so the record is clear, you don't	22	university, but then later on he changed to the
	23	maintain your own collection of mesh removed from the	23	company.
	24	pelvic floor; correct?	24	Q. It was Dr. Oberlinski who first told you that
			1	

e 2 :	e 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3360-5 Filed 2427/17 Page 50 of 58 PageID #: 134498					
	Page 190		Page 192			
1	there are several textile options available to change	1	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Take a short break.			
2	mesh?	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.			
3	A. Yes.	3	The time is 1:10 p.m.			
4	Q. Now, you've been a paid consultant by FEG	4	(A recess was taken from 1:09 p.m. until 1:31 p.m.)			
5	since 1998 or 1999. Is that true?	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.			
6	A. No. I guess it was later on. It was after	6	The time is 1:31.			
7	the contract finished with the with Ethicon.	7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
8	Q. And to this day you're compensated annually	8	BY MR. ANDERSON:			
9	by FEG; correct?	9	Q. Dr. Klinge, do you remember when counsel was			
10	A. Correct.	10	asking you some questions about the PVDF mesh and			
11	Q. And they pay you about 30,000€ a year?	11	PVDF fibers?			
12		12	A. There has been several questions, but I			
13	Q. They determine how much they'll pay you each	13	remember.			
14		14	Q. Okay. If we could go to Plaintiff's Exhibit			
15		15	697 right here. It's the Otto article. If we could			
16		16	go over a few pages to the mesh.			
17		17	MR. ANDERSON: No. Blow up the top part.			
18		18	Actually, no, no. Next page.			
19	• •	19	MR. KAUFFMANN: Next page?			
20		20	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Yeah. Blow up the			
21		21	whole top part.			
22		22	BY MR. ANDERSON:			
23		23	Q. Doctor, the mesh on the far right side, the			
24		24	DynaMesh, is that the mesh that you were talking with			
	invited an over the world. Wost of these, far most					
	Page 191		Page 193			
1	of these invitations are not linked to the FEG. So	1	counsel about that's made by FEG?			
2	it is an exception if I do it on the on the	2	A. Yes. This is a PVDF mesh made by FEG.			
3	invitation of the FEG. This is a rare exception.	3	Q. And does FED FEG make meshes made out of			
4	Q. And your picture is on the FEG website, isn't	4	this PVDF material for pelvic organ prolapse?			
5	it?	5	A. Yes.			
6	A. Maybe. To be fair, I never have looked to	6	Q. And just explain quickly for the jury what			
7	this website. I didn't saw any need to do so.	7	PVDF is as a polymer, as a material.			
8	Q. And you're going to teach next week in	8	A. PVDF is a plastic material like as			
9	Baden-Baden at a class sponsored by FEG, aren't you?	9	polypropylene is, but it has it consists of two			
10	A. Yeah.	10	fewer atoms. So it has some other molecules inside,			
11	Q. The International Masterclass for	11	and it has more stability than the polypropylene.			
12	Laparoscopic Hernia Repair; correct?	12	Q. How long have you known about PVDF as an			
13	A. Yes.	13	alternative polymer to polypropylene for surgical			
14	Q. And that's a seminar sponsored by the FEG?	14	meshes?			
15	A. Yeah. It was an invitation by Professor	15	A. We, actually, started to think about it in			
16	Berger, who was the former head of the German	16	1997. When we finished the Vypro, we knew that it			
17	Society, and we did it for the fourth time or the	17	was possible to made a mesh with large pores. But			
18	fifth time.	18	Vypro consists of five filaments, and to reduce			
19	Q. And the agenda for the hernia session is on	19	further on the risk for bacterial infection, we			
20		20	wanted to construct it as a monofilament. And,			
21		21	therefore, we have been looking for the best material			
22		22	for the construction of a monofilament large pore			
23		23	meshes. And there it came up that PVDF may be the			
- 1	4 man - m =	1	*			

24 best.

Thank you, Doctor.

24

Page 194 Page 196 1 And we approached Ethicon to join this 1 Ethicon? 2 activity further on. We asked for some grants to do A. Yes. 3 this research, and, fortunately, we got the O. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: Let's go to Exhibit 3354. Oh, permission to do this project by our university and we got some further grants to work on PVDF meshes; 5 it's the wrong one. I need the translation. but, unfortunately, Ethicon denied to develop meshes 6 7 made of PVDF, though they provided us with one PVDF (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3355, English mesh that is made by Ethicon. 8 Translation of Plaintiff's Exhibit 3354, Patent for 9 PVDF mesh, was marked for identification.) 9 Q. So did Ethicon have an opportunity to work with you and FEG to develop PVDF meshes during this 10 10 time period? 11 11 BY MR. ANDERSON: 12 12 A. Obviously they had a mesh, but they didn't Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as 13 want to go into this project to develop PVDF meshes, 13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3355. What is this, Doctor? 14 but they were asked, but they denied. They didn't A. This is a patent from Ethicon. 15 want to do so. 15 Q. Okay. And what's it a patent for? 16 Q. Have you studied the differences in the 16 A. It's a patent for a PVDF mesh. 17 tissue reaction in patients' tissue of polypropylene 17 Q. Is this something that you've reviewed and 18 versus a PVDF? 18 relied upon in forming some of your opinions here? 19 19 A. Yeah. We did a several --A. Yes. 20 20 Q. Okay. Q. If you'll turn over to page 12. 21 MR. ANDERSON: Pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 21 MR. THOMAS: Show my objection to this 22 22 770, which may help us with this discussion. witness offering any testimony about this 23 23 document. It's well beyond the scope of his 24 24 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. PLT0770, Article expertise. The document speaks for itself. Page 195 Page 197 entitled "New Polymer for Intra-Abdominal Meshes -1 There's nothing special he can bring to the PVDF Copolymer", was marked for identification.) 2 issues raised by this patent. 3 3 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. And I didn't ask him BY MR. ANDERSON: 4 anything about PVDF on direct. You chose to. 4 5 Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as 5 You opened the door. We're going to drive 6 Plaintiff's Exhibit 0770. Is this some of the 6 through it. 7 research that you were just describing where you were BY MR. ANDERSON: looking at tissue response to PVDF? Q. Okay. So if you will look at page 12 under 9 A. Yes. claims. 10 Q. Okay. Tell the jury what your conclusions 10 A. Yes. were after looking at this PVDF study. 11 Q. What does it say with regard to the pore 12 A. In this study, this study clearly confirms sizes for PVDF mesh? A. Basic structure should have a pore size with that the tissue reaction to the PVDF is better than 13 for the polypropylene. a range of 1.5 to 8.0 millimeter, so to be extremely 15 Q. Who provided you with the PVDF meshes for 15 large, covering 90 percent of the total area of the testing in this study? 16 pores. 16 17 17 A. It was a PVDF mesh made by Ethicon. Q. Okay. Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1087, 18 MR. ANDERSON: Let's go to the end of the which you've already seen. Plaintiff's Exhibit 1087. 19 document under the conclusion section. Go back. 19 He can have it. I gave him a copy. Plaintiff's 20 There. "This study was supported..." 20 Exhibit 1087. 21 No. Down below. Next paragraph. 21 You're okay. You don't need to go there. 22 BY MR. ANDERSON: 22 It's going to come up on the screen. 23 Q. Is this what you're discussing, that this 23 Is this a document we reviewed earlier during PVDF study was, in fact, supported and funded by your direct examination?

o 2.12 ma 02027 bookmoprore opriomedia twe wirngeo ragon in 110 100						
	Page 198		Page 200			
1	A. Yes.	1	right there.			
2	Q. Okay. From 2008?	2	MR. THOMAS: That's not what it says. I			
3	A. From 2008. Ethicon document.	3	object to showing this document to the jury,			
4	Q. Okay.	4	admission of this document and any testimony			
5	MR. ANDERSON: If you'd go to the slide.	5	about this document. The document speaks by			
6	MR. KAUFFMANN: Got it.	6	itself, and this witness is not qualified, with			
7	MR. ANDERSON: Yes. Blow up that bottom	7	no foundation to give any comment about the			
8	left.	8	document.			
9	BY MR. ANDERSON:	9	BY MR. ANDERSON:			
10	Q. In this Ethicon presentation, what is this?	10	Q. Dr. Klinge, did you, in fact, have this			
11	A. This is a PVDF copolymer mesh.	11	document in your own files when you worked with			
12	Q. And do you know and based upon your review	12	Ethicon?			
13	of the patent and your review of these documents, did	13	A. Yes.			
14	Ethicon have a brand name for this PVDF mesh?	14	Q. And did you produce that to Ethicon when they			
15	A. No. I don't think that they marketed it.	15	requested all of your files?			
16	Q. No. Not marketed it but	16	A. Yes.			
17	A. Yeah.	17	Q. So this is a document that you received while			
18	Q did they have a brand name for it?	18	you were consulting with them?			
19	A. Brand name was Pronova. Pronova was the name	19	A. Yes.			
20	of this.	20	Q. Great. And underneath there it says			
21	Q. Do you have any information as to whether or	21	Christoph Walther. Is that one of the Ethicon			
22	not Ethicon ever chose to sell their Pronova mesh	22	employees that you would have worked with?			
23	made out of PVDF?	23	A. Yes.			
24	A. So far I know, they never brought it to the	24	Q. And in the middle there where it says,			
	Page 199		Page 201			
1	Page 199 market.	1	Page 201 "Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good			
1 2	_	1 2	C			
	market.		"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good			
2	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what	2	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility			
2 3	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint	2	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness"			
2 3 4	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon?	2 3 4	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes.			
2 3 4 5	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind,	2 3 4 5	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in			
2 3 4 5 6	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon.	2 3 4 5 6	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by			
2 3 4 5 6 7	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body."			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3184, Letter to Quentin from Christoph Walther, Bates stamped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used in the human body for hernia mesh since 1962. Do you			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3184, Letter to Quentin from Christoph Walther, Bates stamped HMESH_ETH_00379723, was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used in the human body for hernia mesh since 1962. Do you remember that question?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor. ——— (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3184, Letter to Quentin from Christoph Walther, Bates stamped HMESH_ETH_00379723, was marked for identification.) ——— BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used in the human body for hernia mesh since 1962. Do you remember that question? A. Yes.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3184, Letter to Quentin from Christoph Walther, Bates stamped HMESH_ETH_00379723, was marked for identification.) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3184. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used in the human body for hernia mesh since 1962. Do you remember that question? A. Yes. Q. And has polypropylene been used in the human			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3184, Letter to Quentin from Christoph Walther, Bates stamped HMESH_ETH_00379723, was marked for identification.) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3184. This is a document that you have seen before, Dr. Klinge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used in the human body for hernia mesh since 1962. Do you remember that question? A. Yes. Q. And has polypropylene been used in the human pelvis of women for pelvic organ prolapse since 1962?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3184, Letter to Quentin from Christoph Walther, Bates stamped HMESH_ETH_00379723, was marked for identification.) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3184. This is a document that you have seen before, Dr. Klinge. MR. ANDERSON: If you'll highlight the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used in the human body for hernia mesh since 1962. Do you remember that question? A. Yes. Q. And has polypropylene been used in the human pelvis of women for pelvic organ prolapse since 1962? A. No. It's less than ten years.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	market. Q. And from your reading of the PowerPoint, what was the discussion and the reason for this PowerPoint being given at Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Objection; state of mind, knowledge of Ethicon. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. What was discussed in this Thunder PowerPoint by Ethicon? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: The entire project was to evaluate whether the use of PVDF would make a safer approach would make a safer device for the use in the pelvic floor. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. P3184, Letter to Quentin from Christoph Walther, Bates stamped HMESH_ETH_00379723, was marked for identification.) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3184. This is a document that you have seen before, Dr. Klinge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Pronova monofilaments are an extremely good candidate as implant material, very high flexibility and low bending stiffness" A. Yes. Q "and without loss of tensile strength in contrast to polypropylene and long-term stability long-term stability in human body." Do you agree with those statements by Ethicon? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not polypropylene mesh is creates greater inflammatory reaction in tissues than PVDF mesh? A. Polypropylene in general produces more inflammation, more scarring than PVDF. Q. You were asked by Mr. Thomas on cross-examination whether polypropylene has been used in the human body for hernia mesh since 1962. Do you remember that question? A. Yes. Q. And has polypropylene been used in the human pelvis of women for pelvic organ prolapse since 1962?			

Page 202 Page 204

- 1 suture material and asked you whether or not that
- ² much material goes into some of the meshes in the
- 3 hernia -- for hernia repair. Do you remember that
- 4 part of your questioning?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Is there a difference between that amount of
- 7 material in the abdominal wall than that amount of
- material in a woman's vaginal space?
- 9 A. Definitely. The use of a mesh in the
- ¹⁰ abdominal wall, we don't have to consider some
- 11 forces. It's laying there flat, usually beneath the
- 12 muscles. You don't have any tension to any arms or
- 13 something like this. There are less nerves. There
- are no organs with direct contact to the mesh that
- can be damaged by this. So it is more easy -- if you
- 16 have some complications, some infection, it is
- quite -- much more easy to remove it and to repair
- 18 the damage after a mesh complication.
- Q. Easier to remove the hernia than the pelvic
- organ prolapse, is that what you're saying?
- A. It is easier to remove the mesh.
- MR. THOMAS: Let me move to strike his
- testimony about mesh in the pelvic floor as being
- beyond the area of his expertise.

- 1 Q. Go right ahead, Doctor.
- A. When using -- when I would use a new device,
- 3 I'm dependent on the information that is provided by
- 4 the manufacturer for the long-term risks or for the
- 5 risks that are connected to this device. There is no
- 6 other way to get this information.
 - Q. If you're a surgeon that's putting in what
- 8 you characterize as a relatively new device, if the
- 9 manufacturer knew that there was a serious long-term
- orisk of chronic debilitating pain, would you expect
- them to pass that information along to you?
 - A. Yes, I would.
 - MR. THOMAS: Objection to foundation.
- THE WITNESS: And in parallel, he has to stop
- selling it.

12

13

23

1

8

11

14

15

20

- 16 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. And if a manufacturer was aware of serious
- 18 adverse events of life-altering untreatable erosions,
- 19 would you expect them to pass that along to you as a
- 20 surgeon?
- MR. THOMAS: Objection.
- 22 A. Yes.
 - MR. THOMAS: Argumentative.
- 24 BY MR. ANDERSON:

Page 203

- MR. ANDERSON: You sure asked him a lot of
- 2 questions about it, but okay. Let's move on.
- 3 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 4 Q. You were asked some questions about
- 5 consenting your patients when you were a hernia
- 6 surgeon. Do you remember that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you were asked questions about what risks
- 9 you would pass along to your patients; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you pass along a risk if you're not told
- 12 about it by the manufacturer?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. If you were a surgeon who's implanting a new
- surgical device, do you expect the manufacturer to
- pass along information they have about serious
- adverse events that could affect that risk-benefit
- discussion with your patients?
- 19 A. Definitely.
- MR. THOMAS: Objection; foundation, beyond
- 21 the scope.
- MR. ANDERSON: It's direct response to the
- 23 cross-exam.
- 24 BY MR. ANDERSON:

- Q. Okay. Wait until he does his objection --
- 2 A. Sorry.
- Q. -- and then you can answer because it's
- 4 messing up the record, and it's harder for her to
- 5 type.
- 6 A. Sorry.
- 7 MR. THOMAS: Do you want to ask the question
 - again?
- 9 MR. ANDERSON: I think I liked it.
- 10 THE COURT REPORTER: You might need to --
 - MR. ANDERSON: Because he interrupted me?
- MR. THOMAS: I didn't want mean to.
- MR. ANDERSON: Well, you already did.
 - MR. THOMAS: I'm sorry. Doing the best I
 - can, man.
- 16 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- O. If a manufacturer is aware of serious
- lifelong risk of recurrent erosions that can't be
- 19 treated, would you, as a surgeon, want to know that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If a manufacturer is aware that their product
- 22 can create a serious adverse long-term risk of
 - 3 dyspareunia or painful sexual relations, would you
 - 4 expect them to pass that along to you?

Page 206 Page 208 THE WITNESS: There is no way to place it 1 A. Yes. 1 2 Q. If a manufacturer was aware that in certain 2 completely tension-free, and I believe there is 3 no one who will really think of it as an option. patients, like young patients or sexually active patients, that in those patients they shouldn't have BY MR. ANDERSON: that device implanted, would you expect them to pass Q. When you saw those arms being pulled through 6 that along to you? the woman's groin from her vaginal incision, was 7 A. Yes. there tension being placed on those arms? 8 8 A. Definitely. Uniaxial tension, as it was done MR. THOMAS: Just show my objection to the 9 whole line as being beyond his whole line of in our measurements and it was done -- as it was done 10 expertise as a hernia surgeon. in the drawings from Ethicon and in the study done by 11 Ethicon. MR. ANDERSON: And that's fine because, just 11 12 12 for the judge's purposes when we go to argue Q. And Mr. Thomas mentioned that when you did 13 this, Mr. Thomas asked numerous questions about your uniaxial testing with Professor Mühl that you 14 passing on the risk-benefit information and that held one end and you pulled on the other end; 15 the manufacturer didn't need to tell him these 15 correct? 16 things because he already knew it; and so let's 16 A. Yes. 17 17 go through some of the things on redirect of what Q. Is that exactly what the surgeon was doing in 18 he would like to know from the manufacturer, and 18 that DVD video with the arms? 19 19 so that's what I'm attempting to do now. So A. Yes. 20 20 we'll note your objection and my response. Q. You were asked some questions about your 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: testing with Professor Mühl by Mr. Thomas about 22 Q. If the manufacturer is aware that their whether or not this -- the porosity setup and 23 device should not be used with certain patients, investigation you had done accounted for pelvic floor certain indications, would you expect them to pass forces. Do you remember that? Page 207 Page 209 that information along to you? A. Yes. 1 2 A. Yes. Q. As you looked at the pores in the DVD and the 3 MR. THOMAS: Objection; vague. tension placed on the mesh arms by the surgeon, are THE WITNESS: Yes, of course. 4 those pores deformed before any forces from the BY MR. ANDERSON: pelvis are being placed on the mesh? MR. THOMAS: Objection. Again, not familiar 6 Q. For instance, if a manufacturer knew that a 6 7 particular type of hernia or a particular type of with the surgical procedure and what's going on inside. prolapse, it would be inappropriate to treat with 8 9 that device, would you expect them to pass that along 9 THE WITNESS: In these arms there is no 10 to you? 10 interference with some other forces from the 11 MR. THOMAS: Objection; compound. 11 pelvic floor, and there is no interference with 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 some ingrowing tissue which will occur later on. BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 14 Q. Mr. Thomas said something on cross about the 14 Q. So were the pores irreversibly deformed on a 15 Prolift being implanted tension-free. You've seen Prolift arm even before the woman's vaginal incision the DVD; correct? 16 16 is closed? 17 17 A. Yes. A. Yes. 18 Q. You've seen the internal documents by 18 MR. THOMAS: Objection. That's beyond the 19 19 Ethicon? scope of this witness's ability to testify, any 20 A. Yes. 20 expertise, any disclosed opinions or testing. 21 Q. Is there any way that a Prolift can actually 21 BY MR. ANDERSON: 22 be implanted tension-free in a woman? 22 Q. And your answer was? 23 MR. THOMAS: Objection; not an expert in the 23 A. Yes. 24 surgical procedure. 24 Q. Thank you.

- He also -- Mr. -- the counsel also pointed
- out from these articles -- he pointed out to two
- 3 sections on the 2007 article and the 2013 article,
- 4 these sections that says clinical studies have to
- 5 prove whether or not effective porosity and meshes
- 6 with high effective porosity will actually result in
- ⁷ improved patient complications. Do you remember
- 8 that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And he asked you, "You haven't done any
- clinical studies to look at this, have you?" Do you
- 12 recall that question?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you a mesh manufacturer, Doctor?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. After Ethicon circulated these two e-mails in
- 2008 and again in 2010, circulating your and Mühl's
- 18 testing, did you see anywhere in the Ethicon
- 19 documents where they did any clinical studies to look
- 20 at pore deformation?
- A. No, I didn't find any hint for this.
- Q. Do you see anywhere in the documents, all the
- 23 thousands of documents you reviewed, all the
- depositions of all the Ethicon witnesses you

- ¹ experimental results. And if you have another
 - ² polymer, yeah, you have to adopt it to this polymer

Page 212

Page 213

- ³ after having the -- making this investigation.
 - Q. Thank you. Let me go to another question
- 5 he -- series of questions you were asked.
- 6 He put two articles in front of you by Jan
- Deprest, and counsel said, "Are you aware of other
- 8 scientists out there who may disagree with your 1
- 9 millimeter?" Do you remember that part of the
- 10 questioning?
 - A. Yes.

11

14

24

- Q. Those two articles by Jan Deprest, is Jan
- 13 Deprest an Ethicon consultant?
 - A. So far I know, yes.
- Q. And if Jan Deprest said that 75 microns is
- ¹⁶ efficient for good, healthy tissue ingrowth and it
- will resist scar plates, is that consistent or
- 18 inconsistent with Ethicon's own documents?
- MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the
- question. Object to foundation.
- THE WITNESS: It will be inconsistent. If
- you really believe that it is possible to -- or
- the ingrowths of healthy tissue is possible for
 - these low pore size, then it would be

- 1 reviewed, or any scientific literature where Ethicon
- 2 ever looked at what the impact on patients would be
- 3 after forces were placed on the arms? Do you see
- 4 that anywhere?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. You were asked why your machine was set for
- 7 1,000 microns for polypropylene in terms of a
- 8 critical limit of the distance between the fibers,
- ⁹ and you were asked why PVDF was set at 600 microns.
- 10 Do you remember that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Why?
- A. The basis for these two figures, 600 and
- 14 1,000 microns, have been our investigations of the
- 15 tissues, because we have seen that around the fiber
- 16 made of PVDF, the inflammatory reaction is -- is
- attenuated and there is less scar and that the pores
- are filled with fat even when the size of the hole of
- 19 the pore is only 600 microns. And, therefore,
- 20 because this -- this measurement by Professor Mühl
- 21 was intended to predict the risk for these scar
- 22 contraction and scar integration in the pores;
- 23 therefore, we adopted this to 600 microns for PVDF
- and 1 millimeter for polypropylene. It fits to our

- inconsistent. The Ethicon people clearly stated
- 2 it on several pages in several documents that we
- have to consider a pore size of 3 millimeters or
- 4 at least 1 millimeter and far beyond.
- 5 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 6 Q. And was the product that was developed by you
- 7 and Ethicon, the Vypro mesh, did it have pore sizes
- 8 less than 75 microns?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Did it have pore sizes larger than 1,000
- 11 microns?
- 12 A. So the pores -- the area of the large pores
- 13 is considerably higher, and the diameter of these
 - pores is between 3 and 5 millimeter.
- Q. Have you seen anywhere in the Ethicon
- documents out of all of the ones you've reviewed or
- out of the ten years of consulting with them or all
- of the depositions that you saw anywhere where
- 19 Ethicon said, "At 75 microns we can prevent fibrotic
- 20 bridging"?
- 21 A. Nowhere.
- Q. You were asked another series of questions
- about whether there were any RCTs that you could
 - point to randomized controlled trials of Prolift in

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3760-5 Filed 242117 Page 56 of 59 PageID #: 134434 Page 214 Page 216 1 order to prove the safety of its device. Do you 1 A. It's healthy tissue in healthy rats.

- 2 remember that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You were asked whether you had conducted any
- studies or knew of any studies, randomized controlled
- trials, to prove the safety of Prolift. Do you
- remember that?
- A. Yes. 8

3

- 9 Q. Do you see anywhere where Ethicon conducted
- 10 their own safety studies in order to look as to
- whether or not this amount of material was actually
- 12 necessary to support a woman's pelvic organs?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did you see anywhere where Ethicon did any
- clinical trials to determine whether or not the pore
- sizes of Gynemesh PS were necessary to be that size
- in order to support pelvic organ prolapse? 17
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you see anywhere where Ethicon justified
- or clinically studied that it was necessary for them
- to have pores that would collapse and look like the
- deformed pores on the DVD in order to be safely
- 23 implanted in a woman?
- 24 MR. THOMAS: Objection; argumentative.

- 2 Q. Is it being used with trocars and cannulas to
- pull it in there?
- A. No trocars.
- Q. Is it going in through a transvaginal
- incision of the rat?
- A. No, nothing like this.
- 8 Q. Is it being permanently implanted in the
- 9 rat's tissue?

10

- A. It's only implanted for 90 days.
- 11 Q. Were any forces placed on the mesh during the
- 12 implanting or being forced -- any forces on the mesh
 - after implantation?
- 14 A. No. When placing in the subcutaneous area,
- 15 you don't have any forces.
- 16 Q. Is the subcutaneous skin in the back of a rat
- 17 the same as the delicate pelvic tissues of a woman?
- 18 A. No. It is -- the tissue reaction of pure fat
- 19 is attenuated.
- 20 Q. When Prolift or any other pelvic organ
- prolapse mesh is put into a woman's tissue, is that
- because it's healthy or unhealthy?
- 23 MR. THOMAS: Objection.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Unhealthy.

Page 215

- BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 2 Q. Did you see that?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. You were asked a whole lot of questions about
- this 91-day rat study, so let's talk about a rat
- 6 study if we could. That was an internal Ethicon
- 7 study; correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Done by Ethicon scientists?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Was it peer-reviewed in the peer-reviewed
- 12 publications?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. The size of the piece of mesh, can you just
- 15 show the jury what the size of the piece of mesh
- would be that went into the back of these little 16
- 17 rats?
- 18 A. It's usually the size of the fingertip or the
- 19 nail.
- 20 Q. Okay. So we have a piece of mesh the size of
- our fingernail going into the back of a rat for 91 21
- 22 days. That's what this study was; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Is that going into healthy tissue?

- 1 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. And is it being permanently implanted or
- implanted for 91 days?
- A. It's permanently for years hopefully.
- Q. And are these rats having sexual relations
- 6 while they have the mesh in their back?
- 7 MR. ANDERSON: I would like to withdraw that
- 8 question.

11

- 9 THE WITNESS: I think so.
- 10 BY MR. ANDERSON:
 - Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor.
- 12 Would it be safe to take a three-month study
- of a piece of mesh that's the size of your fingernail
- that was in the back of a rat where no trocars were
- used in healthy tissue, not going through a
- transvaginal incision, with no forces placed on the
- mesh, in order to say this study will tell you that
- Prolift can be safely implanted in a woman's vagina
- 19 for the rest of her life?
- 20 A. It would be very dangerous to take this study
- as a proof for safety. This is not justified. And
- if you'll remember to the results, there wasn't no --
- there wasn't a significant difference among the
 - meshes. Meanwhile, you have hundreds of studies

	PIOI. DI. Med	<i>a</i> .	owe killinge
	Page 218		Page 220
1	showing that the material has an impact on the tissue	1	CERTIFICATE
2	ingrowth. So if you make your own study and seeing	2	
3	no difference in these small group of animals, then	3	I, Tami Cline, Registered Merit Reporter,
4	you should think of exchanging the people who are	4	Certified Realtime Reporter, and Florida Professional
5	responsible for this study. It is yeah.	5	Reporter, do hereby certify that, pursuant to notice,
6	Q. So counsel asked you on cross-examination	6	the deposition of PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE was duly
7	he said, "You have not designed a device that was	7	taken on November 10, 2014, at 9:04 a.m. before me.
8	designed for the for pelvic organ prolapse." Do	8	The said PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE was duly
9	you remember that question?	9	sworn by me according to law to tell the truth, the
10	A. I remember it.	10	whole truth and nothing but the truth and thereupon
11	Q. Has Ethicon ever designed a mesh that was	11	did testify as set forth in the above transcript of
12	specifically designed for the pelvic floor?	12	testimony. The testimony was taken down
13	A. No.	13	stenographically by me. I do further certify that
14	Q. You were asked three different questions that	14	the above deposition is full, complete, and a true
15	I want to go to, Doctor. You were asked at the	15	record of all the testimony given by the said
16	beginning of your cross-exam, "91 percent of all	16	witness.
17	surgical meshes on the market today are made of	17	
18	polypropylene." Do you remember that question?	18	
19	A. Yes.	19	Tami Cline, RMR, CRR, FPR
20	Q. And do you remember the question of, "Can you	20	
21	think of any product on the market today that is	21	(The foregoing certification of this
22	safer than Prolift for pelvic organ prolapse?" Do	22	transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the
23	you remember those questions?	23	same by any means, unless under the direct control
24	A. Yes.	24	and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)
	11. 105.		
	Page 219		Page 221
1	Q. Is Prolift or Prolift+M still on the market	1	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS
2	today?	2	
3	MR. THOMAS: Objection.	3	
4	THE WITNESS: So far I know, it is not longer	4	Please read your deposition over carefully
5	on the market.	5	and make any necessary corrections. You should state
6	MR. ANDERSON: I don't have any other	6	the reason in the appropriate space on the errata
7	questions.	7	sheet for any corrections that are made.
8	MR. THOMAS: We need to consult.	1 .	
	WIR. THOWAS. We need to consuit.	8	
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.	9	After doing so, please sign the errata sheet
10			After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition.
	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.	9	
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.)	9	
10 11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have.	9 10 11	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original
10 11 12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty
10 11 12 13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have.	9 10 11 12 13	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by
10 11 12 13 14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript
10 11 12 13 14 15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 2:01 p.m. (A recess was taken from 2:01 p.m. until 2:02 p.m.) MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in

Case 2:12-md-02327 Decument 3760-5 Filed 04/27/17 Page 58 of 58 PageID #: 134436

	Page 222				Page 224
1		1]	LAWYER'S NOTES
2	ERRATA	2	PAGE	LINE	
3		3			
4	PAGE LINE CHANGE	4			
5		5			
6	REASON:	6			
7		7			
8	REASON:	8			
9		9			
10	REASON:	10			
12	REASON:	12			
13					
14	REASON:	14			
	DEACON.				
16	REASON:				
		17			
18	REASON:	18			
19		19			
20	REASON:	20			
21		21			
22	REASON:	22			
23		23			
24	REASON:	24			
	Page 222	\vdash			
	Page 223				
1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT				
2	I,, do hereby				
3 4	acknowledge that I have read the foregoing pages, 1				
	to 224, and that the same is a correct transcription				
	of the answers given by me to the questions therein				
7	propounded, except for the corrections or changes in				
8	form or substance, if any, noted in the attached				
9	Errata Sheet.				
10	Entite Shoot.				
11					
12					
	PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE DATE				
14	TROLDR. MED. CWE KENGE DATE				
15					
16					
17					
18	Subscribed and sworn to before me this				
19	day of, 20				
20	My Commission expires:				
21	,				
22					
	Notary Public				
23					
24					